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FOREWORD

Kiyoshi Ikeda

This 27th issue of Social Process in Hawai‘i reflects on some persistent concerns in approaching the “social situation in Hawai‘i.” At the same time, we hope that the articles selected for publication also reveal different, if not, new perspectives and approaches to the study of life and culture in Hawai‘i.

Continuity is indexed in both the republication of some earlier articles which honor those persons who have given much of their time and effort to publish and to gather together writers and analysts of life in Hawai‘i, and in printing student papers which have formed the core of description and interpretation of such living. Professor Andrew Lind describes the early years, in which an emergetic band of students, faculty, and “visiting faculty” took to publishing something of value, based on the continuing research of faculty and the efforts of students to provide accurate and sensitive descriptions. We also republish portions of “Community Types in Hawai‘i” to encourage reflection on stability and change in Hawaiian communities and social relationships encouraged by such forms. Professor Bernhard Normann in his “Retrospect and Prospect” develops fine details on how earlier issues were published and the changing institutional context within which this publication continued. The selections from his “The Caucasian Minority” establish a perspective to evaluate contemporary social relationships in Hawai‘i. The influence of “ethnicity” and “race” on Hawaiian life remains to be systematically described and interpreted. This earlier piece, republished here, should encourage the continuing effort at full and systematic work.

Within this section on “Retrospect,” we also publish Professor George Yamamoto’s “The Ethnic Lawyer and Social Structures: The Japanese Attorney in Honolulu.” We believe that the basic information and analysis provided should encourage more updated research and interpretation on the changing status and functions of professionals who reflect given ethnic backgrounds and experiences. The legal profession, for one, no longer is a “small” community here. The flow of Japanese-American and other persons into the highly complex and differentiated
professional community today requires detailed study and interpretation. As in the case of Professors Lind and Hormann, this type of work suggests continuities in sociocultural practice. At the same time, these analyses suggest continuing efforts which should inform general approaches to the study of society and community living, both in Hawaii and elsewhere.

The types of communities which emerge in Hawaii over time have a significant impact on what kinds of persons and populations are either "sponsored" into Hawaii or are drawn into the opportunities here. At the same time, such opportunities have a significant bearing on how persons of given social and ethnic backgrounds can begin to participate within the emerging social structure within and without the archipelago.

The section on "Local Documentation" has a strong tie to the first efforts by Romanzo Adams, Andrew Lind, Bernhard Hormann, Clarence Glick, and Herbert Blumer, among others in encouraging persons who have direct experience and participation within given social settings to describe, and perhaps analyze on a preliminary basis, such developments. Over the years, such works have been part of reprinted collections, both in published form and in planned reprintings. They draw on local and historical interest because they represent some shred of "reality" shared with many who have lived in Hawaii and elsewhere. Such descriptions may not reflect the full reality, but certainly encourage reflection. Professor Michael Weinstein provides a brief overview; Eric Yamamoto's "The Significance of Local" and Deborah Chang's "Power Politics, and Powerlessness," reveal perspectives from the inside.

"Current Research" represents ongoing work by faculty and others within the social science community in Hawaii. Topics which have present-day relevance or a more systematic methodology, we find, also have continuity in certain social themes and interests. "Ethnicity and Rape Impact" by Professors Libby Ruch and Susan Chandler focus on societal and organized responses to victims of crime. Professor Milton Bloombaum and Mr. Ted Gugelyk report on a section of their long-term research on leprosy patients and the larger community in Hawaii in "Attitudes toward Leprosy." Professor Larry K. Stephenson and Ms. Amy A. Miyashiro describe and interpret "Rural-Urban Contrasts of Kualoa's in Hawaii" and indicate the functions of mutual aid societies within such communities. Professor Gary Fuller's "Citizen's Band Radio on Oahu" suggests how new technology in communication is organized by given populations to meet their given interests and needs. A view of how cooperation and conflict develops over "local" and "outsider" and other cross-cutting social ties is obtained in Fuller's analysis. "Current Research" reveals what is "new" in topical interests, and yet also suggests that certain influences and relationships continue to affect and color the conduct of persons and groups within a changing context.

We encourage work on life and culture in Hawaii which can be informative to a broad audience of persons who wish to have some useful handles to ways in which persons and groups adapt to life in Hawaii. A statement on editorial intent and policy in accepting manuscripts for consideration is enclosed on the inside front cover. In future issues, we have some thoughts which are thematic or focused in nature, but encourage persons to suggest such concentrations and bring forth contributions of value and interest.

As a final note, this issue would not have been possible without the active participation of Professor Michael Weinstein, our co-editor. Without his drive and persistence, and careful editorial review, this issue would not have come to fruition. Quality work by Ms. Freda Wellinger also makes possible this 27th issue. The editorial board, with Professor Eldon Wagner, Mr. Peter Nelligan, and Mr. Monte Broadd and earlier assistance by Professors Harry V. Ball, George Yamamoto, and Raymond Sakamoto, were instrumental in providing guidance and editorial assistance. The administrative efforts of Professor Edmund H. Volkart, who as Chairperson of the Department of Sociology, enabled the kind of administrative review and action to permit this republication in 1979, is deeply appreciated.
PART ONE: RETROSPECT

SOCIAL PROCESS IN HAWAII: THE EARLY YEARS
Andrew W. Lind

The suggestion of a journal devoted primarily to the "social situation in Hawaii" was dropped somewhat casually in conversation at a Sociology Club dinner in the spring of 1934 by Everett Stonequist, a visiting professor from Skidmore College. The very thought that a small group of about thirty students, primarily undergraduates, could aspire to a venture so ambitious seemed almost absurd at the time, regardless of the support they might obtain from a faculty of three. Once planted, however, the idea that a modest undertaking of that type was at least "worth a try," took hold sufficiently in the minds of some of those present to result in the appearance in May, 1935, of a forty-nine paged mimeographed publication with the title, Social Process in Hawaii. The one and only resident candidate for a master's degree in the sociology department, Kum Pui Lai, had been persuaded to take the editorship, and together with a staff of two other graduate students, four undergraduates, and one faculty member, they succeeded in bringing together fifteen short articles dealing with various aspects of Island life, for which they believed there might be an interested reading public.

There was not then or subsequently any expectation of producing a journal primarily for sociologists, but the experience of both students and faculty in sociology had led them to believe that an important segment of the community, particularly social workers, educators, physicians, and other professionals dealing with the multi-cultural people of Hawaii should find interest and benefit from the studies which had been or were being conducted by sociologists in the Islands. The diversities in the practices and standards of the ethnic groups especially were still so great that no one, even with long experience locally, could be expected to be acquainted with all of them.

Students of sociology at the University, particularly at the undergraduate level, had been encouraged to test classroom propositions by observations within their own family, neighborhood or other groupings within the community and to objectify them in written reports, from which the faculty and
other students who might read them probably learned as much
as the students who wrote them. Thus, most of the
fifteen articles in the first issue were written by
undergraduates, though several students in the intro-
ductory course dealing with etiquette among Chinese
and Japanese immigrants, and another four by students in
advanced courses in the department. Other papers
were written by graduate students or faculty, and included one by
Bernard Gerber, a few of which were incorporated a few
years later in his widely quoted volume, The Minimal Man.

A satisfying response from the local community,
including editorial commendation in both Honolulu
newspapers, led to a second and a third printing, and the
decision to attempt publication on an annual basis,
utilizing conventional printing runs in subsequent
issues. The Sociology Club, which included both
graduate and undergraduate students, continued to be
designated as the publishers of the first twenty
issues of Social Process—apart from in collaboration
with the Department of Sociology—and after
1972, jointly with the Honolulu Advertiser Social
Research Laboratory. The editors of all the issues after
1980 were students, including among others the present
[1978] Chancellor of the University. One of them, (a two
year) student, was a student. Most of those who
collected the reading of groups, as usual, fell on
the lot of one or both of the two principal faculty
advisors. It must also be acknowledged that the modest
sale price of fifty cents per copy may have con-
tributed to the early appreciation of the unique stock
among the faculty, and the increasing orders from
libraries on the Mainland suggest that the articles had
value in wider educational and intellectual circles.

The articles submitted for the earlier issues of
Social Process covered such a broad range of topics
that it was difficult to specify an organizing prin-
ciple for each issue, except an inclusive one like
social interaction: problems of integration, social
organization, or marital stress. The heterogeneity
among the students might have been thought to
obliges in Social Processes, which might have been thought to
favor variation among the students, but in its 1979
issue, society by sociologists on such topics as Sociology,
Statehood, Vital Statistics, Occupational
Plumasations, Unification, and Island Speech were
accepted and published in Social Processes. As research
on Hawaii developed in neighboring disciplines,
articles began to appear, first only from social
work, but later by professional educators, linguists,
ographers, anthropologists, psychologists, psychi-
atriots, economists, political scientists, and
ministers of religion.

The presence on the faculty of eminent visiting
professors and their contribution of thoughtful
articles to the early issues of Social Process gave
added incentive to the participation by both resident
faculty and graduate students. Two of these visiting
professors, Ellsworth Parz and Herbert Blumer of
the University of Chicago, either had been or subse-
quent to 1970 were elected a president of the American
Sociological Association. Everett Hajnal and
Hua Bo, a professor in the University of Victoria in
the Marginal Man and the Plantation respectively gave
special encouragement to Social Process during the
first few years of its history. Clarence D'Soua, who
later returned to the Mainland, was a visiting professor at the
same time he wrote the oft-quoted article for Social
Process on Chinese residential dispersion.

Comments on the first issue by two of the earlier
visitors to the campus, Edward Dey, Social Science
Director of the Rockefeller Foundation, and Robert B.
Park, University of Chicago sociologist, both called
attention to the special value of such a journal as
"a pedagogical device for stimulating interest in
students" and to "enlarge and strengthen classroom
work.

During the forty-three years that have passed
since the first issue of Social Process appeared,
stimulating changes have occurred in both the nature
of island life and the outlook and methods of the
scholars interested in its study. Consequently, one
would neither expect nor desire the publication in
1979, and later years to assume the same form or
shape as in its first years. A new format may be
necessarily to serve a new body of students, in a new
set of present day. These indeed, however, will
not necessarily diminish the community labors—within which the contributions to Social
Processes will necessarily conduct much of their
observation and research, from which their support
will largely be derived, and to which an obligation
of realistic and scholarly reporting will also be
owing.
These selections are from Professor Lind's classic article in the 1959 issue of Social Processes in Hawaii (volume 23). We are reprinting them here as background for contemporary studies that note the effects of the last twenty years, especially the differentiation of the "urban community" into such further types as the planned suburb (especially Millili Town), to which Professor Lind served as an adviser in its early development, the town-house neighborhood, the public housing project, even the large high-rise building as a community, and the more traditional urban neighborhoods newly affected by the growth of shopping malls, mass transportation access, and extreme increases in land values. The Editors

Part of the fascination as well as the frustration of Hawaii as a laboratory for the social scientist is a consequence of the striking diversity of community types to be found within the 6,400 square miles of these islands. There are few, if any, other regions in the world which present within so limited a land area such striking contrasts in the forms of the communities which have been produced. Less than two centuries ago, Hawaii emerged from a stone-age type of culture and there are still vestiges of that culture which influence the tempo and temper of life in some of our more remote villages. On the other hand, during the past one hundred years, Hawaii has become so thoroughly integrated within the modern world economy that its major city, Honolulu, appears to many observers like just another, although smaller, American city and not essentially different from San Francisco, Seattle, or New York.

For those who wish to penetrate beneath the external appearances, and particularly for those concerned with an effective relationship to our local world, it becomes important to understand both the diversity as well as the unity of the Island community. As one views the major economic trends operating within these Islands during the past 180 years, certainly the dominant impression is that of a shift from a series of isolated, subsistence communities scattered chiefly along the coastlines of the several islands to a standardized Western type of community, varying only in size and minor degree from one end of the Island chain to the other. Unquestionably the one clear development throughout the area since the time of the sandalwood traders has been the direction of a community pattern dominated by commerce and trade (Lind, 1938, Ch. I, xiii). It is equally apparent, however, that various routes have been followed and consequently numerous variations on a major theme have resulted.

It is the purpose of this paper to indicate the more important types of communities which have emerged and to outline some of the significant characteristics of each. For purposes of preliminary analysis, it may be useful to conceive of these community types within a continuum from the least thoroughly Westernized to the one which has been most completely integrated within the emerging world community of trade and commerce. Five principal types of communities, with numerous sub-types, suggest themselves for consideration in this review -- the isolated subsistence type, the independent farming community, the plantation, the military post, the urban community, of which there are perhaps the most numerous variations. No single criterion, such as population size or density, sex, age, or ethnic distribution within the population, source of livelihood, or geographical location, affords an adequate index of the community type, although certain combinations of these factors can be readily recognized in each.

Hawaiian Subsistence Communities

Significant survivals of the old Hawaiian community pattern are still to be found, especially in the areas least suitable for modern agriculture or commerce. Scattered over all the major islands are small settlements, made up predominantly of Hawaiians and Part-Hawaiians who are engaged in a type of life which approximates the old indigenous order most nearly of any now prevailing in the Islands. Many of these communities are too small to be even recorded as villages in the decennial census enumerations. The few which were listed in the census in 1950 had populations usually of less than one hundred each, of which three were in South Kona on the Island of Hawaii: Hōkūleʻa with only twenty residents, Milolii with ninety-five, and Napooopoo with 103. Kalapana,
with sixty inhabitants, in the Puna district on the Big Island, was also listed, as were Waipio also on Hawaii with ninety-five, Pukoo on Molokai with forty-two, and Keanae in the Hana district of Maui with fifty-four. Several larger areas, including the entire Island of Niihau, the eastern portion of the Island of Molokai, the greater part of the Hana district on the Island of Maui, and the outlying portions of North and South Kona on Hawaii, are too rocky and barren to attract Western industry or agriculture, and the sparse and scattered settlements have therefore continued to consist chiefly of native Hawaiians, deriving their livelihood much as their ancestors did many generations ago—from the sea and the soil immediately at hand.

* * * *

With the passage of time, there is a tendency for such communities to acquire increasingly the hall-marks of standardized American settlements, with a greater dependence upon commercial fishing, plantation agriculture, or work for the government as a source of income, and a greater presence of Western material comforts in the form of automobiles, refrigerators, radios, and washing machines. Along with the spread of such evidences of civilization into these isolated communities, there occurs a perceptible breakdown of the traditional moral and religious beliefs and practices of the Hawaiian people.

Important remnants of the old order nevertheless have remained, sometimes in the form of the enlarged family and its widespread adoptive and punaluan variants, and sometimes in the belief in and practice of sorcery and magic. Many of the younger members of the community are attracted to the cities and towns by the greater material opportunities which they afford. This is further confirmed by the striking decline in the population of most of these villages since World War II. Thus the Hawaiian subsistence type of community is becoming more and more of a rarity and it is quite possible that within another decade or two they will have completely disappeared as functioning entities.

Hawaiian Homesteading Communities

Closely related to the isolated subsistence type of community in important respects, although differing markedly in others, is the Hawaiian homesteading community. In 1957, just thirty-six years after the signing of the Act of Congress providing special homestead rights for persons "having fifty per cent or more of Hawaiian blood," there were some what more than ten thousand persons on homestead lands, scattered over the major islands of the group.

In contrast to the subsistence communities, however, which have developed spontaneously among the native Hawaiians, the homesteading communities have been artificially created for persons of Hawaiian ancestry, conceiving of them more or less as wards of the state. Even Prince Kuhio, who introduced the Act creating the Hawaiian Homes Commission in 1921, gave support to the prevailing impression that the natives would lose out in the competitive struggle unless given support from the government.

We are gradually losing out in the business and political jobs and the only place left for us is on these rehabilitation lands and if we do not get in and work and think for ourselves we will be lost. (Keesing, 1936, p. 1)

Although the early promoters obviously endorsed Kuhio's expectations that the Hawaiian homesteading ventures would encourage the natives to "get out in the sun and the rain and dig in the soil," to become as their "ancestors, ... farmers and fishermen," most of the demands for homesteads have occurred on the most urbanized island of Oahu.

Somewhat more than 60 per cent of the Hawaiian homesteaders in 1957 were concentrated on Oahu, at Papakolea-Kalawo in Honolulu proper, at Waimanalo, a suburb of Honolulu, and at Nanakuli in rural Oahu. The next largest group of 472 homesteaders representing 2,982 persons, or slightly more than a quarter of the total number, were established on the Big Island, at Keaukaha in the growing of passion fruit, macadamia nuts, taro, bananas, papayas, coffee, and vegetables, and at Waimea, primarily in livestock production. Most of the remaining 15 per cent of the homesteading population were residents of the "Lonely Island," Molokai, where much of the homestead land has been contracted for the cultivation of pineapples, which guarantees each homesteader a minimum income of $70.00 per month, in addition to
taxes. A small homesteading housing development has occurred in the Anahola district of Kauai.

* * * *

Independent Farming Communities

Although the great majority of the immigrants to Hawaii were introduced to the Islands as workers on the plantations, the principal motivation for many of them to remain in Hawaii after the termination of their contract was the prospect of securing possession of land of their own. Having been born and nurtured in a peasant environment, where land constitutes the foundation of all wealth and the source of whatever is holy and good, it was quite natural that many of the immigrants should have placed land high in their scale of values in the new setting, and that they should have sought to establish communities as nearly comparable as possible with those they had left behind in their homeland.

Small-scale, diversified farming in Hawaii is, of course, as old as human settlement in these Islands, but the modern independent farming community, producing a surplus for sale, is a relatively recent development, dating back at most to the middle of the last century. During the latter part of the nineteenth century, the peasant immigrants, especially from China and Japan, and joined later by those from Korea and the Philippines, sought out the available farming lands, not already appropriated by the plantations, and began to establish little peasant communities of their own.

Some of those established in the eighties and nineties of the last century, especially by the Chinese immigrants, were very much like the plantation communities, which they had recently left, except that the managers of the new communities were of their own ethnic group rather than Caucasians. Thus the rice-growing communities of Chinese on Oahu and Kauai had many of the same characteristics as the sugar plantations which the workers had recently left, although greater opportunity for the perpetuation of old-country traditions was provided in the one-crop communities directed by members of their ethnic group.

It was especially in the diversified farming communities which began to appear during the present century that some close approximation to peasant controls could most readily develop. Even though a single crop such as rice, coffee, bananas, papayas, or macadamia nuts were cultivated, and even though it was on leased land, insofar as the individual cultivators had some degree of independence of action, there was the basis of such a specialized "peasant" community. Thus a considerable number of such farming communities have emerged, scattered over the four major islands and associated with such names as Kona, Kamuela, and Volcano-Glenwood of the Big Island, Kula and Haiku on Maui, Kaneohe, Kahalu'u, Wahiawa, and Pearl Harbor on Oahu, and Waialua and Kalaheo on Kauai.

The overwhelming majority of the small farmers in Hawaii during the past fifty years have been of Japanese ancestry and the small farming communities have therefore reflected chiefly the culture of Japan, as that has been modified within the Hawaiian setting. Thus, in the reports of the past four decennial censuses of agriculture in Hawaii, the Japanese have always constituted more than 50 per cent of the farm operators, even though that term also includes the operators of cattle ranches, plantations, and subsistence farms. In 1950, for example, there were 9,750 "farms" reported to the Census, 3,839 or 66.8 per cent of which were operated by Japanese, and of the moderately valued farms, whose products were worth between $2,500 and $10,000 in 1949, the Japanese operators made up more than 80 per cent.

The external appearance of the independent farming communities in Hawaii might lead one to question whether there really was any community at all. The homes are frequently widely separated and sometimes, as in Kona, enclosed by trees which shut off any view of one's neighbors. Physical as well as social isolation is therefore a central fact of these communities, which even the widespread extension of the various mass media cannot entirely eliminate. The sense of being shut off from the world and all the stimulation it has to offer is unquestionably a major basis of the heavy exodus of young people from these areas to the cities and towns as their further education affords them knowledge of "what they are missing." On the other hand, the promise of freedom from external restraints, whether of bosses on plantations, the gossip of neighbors, or even of immediate family responsibilities, has been chiefly responsible for the influx of residents to these communities and a certain disposition to maintain the isolation of the independent farming areas.
Owing to the high proportion of leased or rented land in the regions devoted to small-scale farming in Hawaii, there is a high proportion of old and dilapidated housing in these areas. Although census data are not separately available for this type of community, repeated observations confirm the impression of poorer housing—outdoor privies, inferior construction, lack of running water—as compared with most other types of communities. It is significant that the seven census tracts reporting the lowest median rentals in 1950—less than $15 per month—were all predominantly of the small farming or subsistence variety. There was likewise a tendency for such tracts to report high proportions of their homes with "no private bath or no running water."

* * *

The Ranching Community

The cattle ranch is best conceived sociologically as intermediate between the plantation and the small farm, in the sense that it affords greater freedom of movement and democracy in social relationships than on plantation and less than on the small farm. The ranch shares with the plantation in being commonly a large landed estate, which employs workers for the performance of tasks which the owner or his family cannot do alone. The workers or "hands" on the ranch, however, differ from the plantation hands, at least during the early history of the plantation, in being fewer in number, possessing somewhat greater occupational skill, and of associating with their bosses and employers in a more informal and democratic basis.

It is true that a large proportion of the four hundred cattle ranches in Hawaii are operated as family enterprises. According to a 1948 survey by the Agricultural Extension Service, 86 per cent of the cattle ranches were family-operated with less than two full-time hired hands each, but more than 85 per cent of the cattle were raised on the remaining 14 per cent of the ranches (Phillips, 1953, p. 135). A sample study in the same survey indicated that the "owners" of these ranches were of Caucasian ancestry,* the large ranches being directed by Haoles and the smaller family-sized ranches by Portuguese, while nearly one-fourth of the operators were Hawaiians and Part-Hawaiians, and only one-seventh were of oriental ancestry.

The greatest number of cattle ranches and the largest ranching communities are located on the island of Hawaii, although sizable communities are also found on all the other major islands. Milhau is the only island devoted entirely to ranching. Plantations of the more extensive ranching operations are conducted as adjuncts of sugar or pineapple plantations, in which case the ranching community becomes essentially a plantation community.

A study of Hawaii's largest cattle ranch, owned by a Part-Hawaiian but managed by a Haole, revealed an enterprise involving somewhat less than two hundred employees, the majority of the cowboys being Hawaiians and Part-Hawaiians, along with a few Portuguese and Japanese (Jessen, 1949). Housing for the employees and their families is provided by the ranch, with single men living in barracks-type facilities, comparable to those on the plantations. Ranch paternalism, not essentially different from that of the plantations of earlier days, appears in the form of a ranch store, with very low prices on milk and meat for ranch employees, a community hall for social affairs, gifts at Christmas time, and luxus on other special occasions. The absence of unions or of active concern in politics are perhaps a natural consequence.

* * *

The Plantation Community

The numerous sugar and pineapple plantations, which for some sixty years afforded the bulk of Hawaii's economic support, consist not only of broad fields of growing crops and the factories and offices but also of the extensive areas for the homes of the workers and of the institutions that go to make up the plantation communities. Each of the twenty-seven sugar plantations and the nine pineapple companies of Hawaii has one or more residential communities and most of them have several, ranging in size from a dozen or fifteen persons to several thousand persons. Somewhat more than half of the 89 cities, towns, and villages listed in the 1950 census of population were either wholly or largely plantation communities. The islands of Kauai, Molokai, Kauai, and Maui, in that order, reported the heaviest concentration of their population in plantation communities, with Hawaii, Oahu, and Milhau, in order, showing the least.*

*The proportion of the employed population engaged on sugar and pineapple "farms" by islands in 1950 was as follows: Kauai, 74.7 per cent; Molokai, 43.4 per cent; Kauai, 37.3 per cent; Hawaii, 30.4 per cent; Kauai, 29.1 per cent; Oahu, 3.7 per cent; and Milhau, 0.0 per cent.
An annual census on the sugar plantations of the Islands indicates that in July, 1957, the 16,000 employees, together with dependents, made up communities numbering nearly 50,000 persons, or about one-twelfth of the total civilian population. On the island of Kauai, the sugar workers and dependents made up 38.2 per cent of the total population, on the island of Maui, the ratio was 31.7 per cent, on Hawaii it was 28 per cent, and on Oahu, it was a mere 2.3 per cent.

A basic requirement of the plantation for its functioning in the production of any agricultural crop is a steady supply of dependable workers, and to meet that requirement the plantations of Hawaii have expended many millions of dollars over the past one hundred years. Not only was it necessary to import workers from foreign lands, but it was also necessary at the outset to house, clothe, and feed them, as well as provide for most of their physical and spiritual needs. Thus plantation communities, including housing for single persons and families, stores, recreational centers, and churches and temples, began to appear almost as soon as the fields for the growing of the crops.

Having invested such large sums of money in recruiting and providing for their labor, the plantations necessarily wished to conserve their investment. This was accomplished in part by selecting their workers from a number of sharply contrasted areas, so as to prevent any one group from exercising undue control over the supply. As a further means of conserving their investment in labor, the planters found it expedient to create separate "camps" for the different racial groups, with corresponding facilities appropriate to each. Thus, the Japanese camp might be built with separate family units, rather than the dormitory or barracks-type of accommodations which were more commonly provided for the single men in the Chinese or Filipino camps. Similarly, a hula (hot bath), a Shinto shrine, a Buddhist Temple, and a language school might be built in a Japanese camp in response to the cultural expectations of the immigrant laborers, whereas none of these particular facilities would be desired in a Filipino or Puerto Rican camp.

The need for separate racial camps obviously diminished as the immigrant workers become better accommodated to the new community, although the tendency to remain in the familiar and friendly surroundings continues long after the initial requirements have been met. Thus Japanese camps still remain on most of the sugar and pineapple plantations, even though the immigration of Japanese laborers ceased more than fifty years ago, and of picture brides, nearly thirty-five years ago.

* * * *

Most notably on the island of Oahu, but increasingly on Maui and more slowly on Kauai and Hawaii, plantation villages and hamlets are giving place to urban centers, with all their benefits as well as their vices. (For an exhaustive account of a pineapple plantation community, see Norbeck, 1959.)

The Military Post

Hawaii's mounting significance as a major military bastion in the Pacific has added a new dimension to the community life of the Islands. Although Pearl Harbor has been an important consideration in American interest in Hawaii, at least since 1876, it was not until after Annexation that a separate military community began to emerge. Several military establishments, including Schofield Barracks, Pearl Harbor Naval Station, Fort Shafter and Fort Armstrong—all on Oahu—had appeared before World War I, but it was after 1920 that the major military potential was developed. The census of 1920 revealed only 3,860 soldiers, sailors, and marines in Hawaii, but by 1930 this figure had increased to 15,862. No definite figures were reported in the censuses of 1940 and 1950, but by simple calculations from the published returns, a figure in the vicinity of 29,000 persons in the armed services is reached for 1940 and of 19,209 for 1950.

The military personnel, now numbering in the vicinity of 56,000, with an additional 21,000 civilian defense workers, is almost wholly concentrated on the island of Oahu. Of the 84 census tracts on Oahu in 1950, eleven in the Pearl Harbor, Hickam Field, Schofield, and Kaneohe areas reported heavy concentrations of persons from the various armed services—army, navy, air force, marines, and coast guard. In the period since 1950, there have been several significant expansions, such that 14.2 per cent of the land area on Oahu is now devoted to defense purposes, and the expenditures of the armed forces, amounting to $327,000,000 in 1958 now constitute the largest source of income to the Islands (Bank of Hawaii, 1959).

The larger defense establishments constitute self-sufficient communities, where every possible need and
interest of the residents, with one or two possible exceptions, are anticipated by the federal government. Restaurants, shopping centers, commissaries, housing, schools, hospitals, theaters, recreation centers, churches, libraries, and social clubs—these are among the numerous institutions and services which are commonly provided on the larger military posts and which relieve the residents from the necessity of ever leaving the post.

* * * *

The Urban Community

It was suggested earlier in this article that the one major theme in the evolution of the various community types in Hawaii has been the expansion of a standardized Western type of community in which trade and commerce constitute the organizing basis of life. It could be argued that Hawaii has become overwhelmingly an urban area in which all other types of communities are steadily being supplanted by towns and cities. Judging by the simple criterion of statistical growth, this would certainly appear to be the case. Honolulu, for example, as the dominant city of the region since early in the last century, had grown in population from 39,000, or 26 per cent of the total population of the region in 1900, to 248,000 in 1950, with an additional 11,000 in its suburbs on the windward side of the island, representing roughly 52 per cent of the entire population of the Territory. Conservative estimates place the population of Honolulu city, excluding the windward suburbs, on July 1, 1959 at 321,600 or 53.8 per cent of the entire civilian population of the new state.

Some competent observers of the Hawaiian social scene insist that the entire island of Oahu has become an urban area, as was partially implied by its classification as a Standard Metropolitan Area in 1950 by the U.S. Census. At that time, Oahu, representing less than one tenth of the entire land area of all the islands, provided the place of residence for 70.6 per cent of the population, and by 1959 it was estimated that 80 percent of all the people of the state were residents of Oahu. The marked reduction after 1940 in the number of sugar plantations on the island from seven to four and the conversion of the vacated land to residence, vegetable raising, dairying, or defense purposes is indicative of the rate of urbanization on the island.

Quite clearly, however, the influence of the city extends far beyond the areas of highly concentrated population or even what is ordinarily thought to be the suburbs of the city. The significance of the city in organizing the life of the region is measured rather in the continuous movement of people and goods between the urban centers and the hinterlands, the economic, political, and intellectual controls exercised by cities over the surrounding countryside, and the parallel flow of ideas through the press, radio, television, and institutions, such as the school and church. The elaboration of these processes with respect to Hawaii, and particularly to indicate what they involve in the lives of the people, is much too complex to undertake within the limits of this article. Some of the major consequences of urbanization, in terms of the undermining of traditional values and ways of life, the emphasizing of individual and personal satisfactions and ambitions to the exclusion of family or institutional goals and welfare, and the substitution of fashion for moral controls, have been briefly sketched in the discussion of other community types.

Increasingly, urban life in Hawaii is assuming the character of life in any American city. With mounting air and surface contacts with Continental United States and the rest of the world, Honolulu inevitably acquires more and more of the standardized appearance and character of any large city around the world. This is a source of disappointment to some tourists who hope to find a South Seas Shangri-la, of which Honolulu appears only to provide the gentle climate and the back-drop of striking land and seascapes. Actually there are in and around Honolulu and the other cities of Hawaii a considerable variety of smaller communities—neighborhoods, "camps," and suburbs which have evolved from the peculiar circumstances of life and which merit separate consideration.
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This article was written twenty years ago, just prior to Hawaii's attainment of Statehood and the subsequent massive influx of visitors from the U.S. mainland. The more basic transformation of the Islands, however, from a plantation-military outpost to an urbanized commercial economy was already well advanced, and university students looking forward to professional careers in the new state were likely to be unduly impressed by the challenge of life in the city. Federal census returns for 1960, just a few months later, gave further support to such a tendency, reporting that only seven of the most highly industrialized states of the Union had higher proportions of their population living in urban centers than Hawaii, with its 76.5 percent so resident. The need for social science students especially, but for the general public as well, to become aware of the several other types of community situations from which the overwhelming majority of Hawaii's residents had only recently emigrated or of which they were still part, seemed an objective which this paper might partially achieve. Probably that need is no less urgent today.

The U.S. Census for 1950, from which much of the supporting data for this paper was derived, was the most recent and actually the only one to provide information in as much detail on some aspects of community life, and the account of that decade constitutes a sort of social watershed in which the outlines of both the earlier and the newer structures could be fairly accurately traced. The inclusion of additional descriptive comments by competent observers or residents for all the community types would have been useful in transmitting more cultural flavor to the account, but it was already longer than the editors would have desired.

Unfortunately the tourist-resort communities had not yet developed sufficiently in 1958 to justify their listing as a separate type, but such an analysis today would obviously require special attention to the modes of living and social values among the tourists and the personnel who serve them in such localities as Mauna Kea Beach, Kauhau, Kaanapali, Lahaina, Poipu, and Waikiki itself. The description and analysis of these emerging communities and of the cultures they are nurturing should offer exciting research possibilities for a number of social scientists of this generation.
RETROSPECT AND PROSPECT

Bernhard L. Hormann

Social Process in Hawaii was the distinctive activity of a small but exciting department of sociology that developed in the United States' new and remote Pacific territory in the 1920s and 1930s.

Sociology was introduced as a discipline in 1920 by Romanzo Adams, a Ph.D. from the University of Chicago, where the first department of sociology in the world was established and the first Ph.D.s were awarded in 1895. Adams was the seventeenth Ph.D., receiving his degree in 1904. Here in Hawaii he taught economics as well as sociology and introduced both anthropology and social work as offerings at the University. At the beginning it was completely an undergraduate department.

He soon made a virtue of his isolation from sociological conventions and colleagues on the Mainland by recognizing Hawaii as an exciting field for research in its own right, a community with many racial groups in various forms of interaction, including intermarriage. Good demographic statistics made analysis possible. His Interracial Marriage in Hawaii, in which statistical analysis was enriched by his warm and sympathetic understanding of the variety of peoples in Hawaii, is still a classic, unfortunately long since out of print.

In 1927, Andrew W. Lind began his relationship with the Department of Sociology, which he continues to this day as Senior Professor Emeritus of Sociology. He introduced the kind of sociological field work and ecological studies for which the University of Chicago was widely known in the 1920s. Under Lind, field work became an important part of the undergraduate course. Studies of the slum of Chinatown and other immigrant ghettos, of immigrant institutions, of rural communities, from the isolated Hawaiian fishing village to the plantation company town, of the distribution of various forms of social disorganization, such as divorce, suicide, crime, mental illness, were made in his classes. The files of student papers and of ecological maps began to grow. Lind's own research in depth resulted in his

An Island Community, a study of the socioeconomic processes by which modern Hawaii was developing. It, unfortunately, too has for years been out of print.

Slowly a graduate program began to develop. Jitsutsu Masuoka and I, in 1931, were the recipients of the first master's degrees in sociology at this university.

As an undergraduate I had majored in secondary education in the College of Arts and Sciences, but had been greatly influenced by Romanzo Adams in the direction of the objective reporting of the social scene and in the comparative study of East and West, and by a visiting professor of sociology, William C. Smith, towards a study of the German labor immigrants in Hawaii. That study became my master's thesis.

Starting in 1929 and throughout the 1930s, new men joined the department for one or several years: Clarence Glick, Robert E. Park, Edgar Thompson, Everett Stonequist, Ellsworth Faris, Herbert Blumer, and each added their research interests and perspectives. The most isolated department of sociology became one of the most exciting. Alumni in all walks of life speak of those stimulating times.

In this excitement Social Process in Hawaii was born in 1935, an annual project of the undergraduate sociology club. From the beginning the fare consisted of articles by students, faculty, and representatives from the wider community. The annual issues were eagerly awaited by people in various professions in Hawaii, by students who used them as supplementary reading, by a steady group of subscribers on the Mainland and elsewhere in the world.

Many aspects of Hawaii besides ethnic groups and race relations were pursued by the Department of Sociology and by Social Process in Hawaii. Hawaii was becoming a sort of hub of the Pacific with important international conferences taking place here. The changing economy of Hawaii, moving from a corporate plantation system with workers controlled by paternalistic or oppressive or impersonal and indifferent managements to one in which collective bargaining prevailed, the growth of the military and of tourism, highly centralized government, party politics and elections, all kinds of social problems, a variety of urban and rural communities, Hawaii under crisis—of war or strike or natural disaster—all called for attention.
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The publication of *Social Process in Hawaii* could not have been carried on for so long without the dynamic undergraduate sociology club and a strong student, editorial and business staff, chosen every year anew from the club membership.

I re-entered the picture at the University of Hawaii in 1939, when I joined the faculty. Our first issue to come out after Pearl Harbor was censored by the military government. My article on "Morale in Hawaii" was so mutilated that it made little sense. We got behind schedule in the war and finally consolidated volumes IX and X into one 1945 issue.

Issues of *Social Process in Hawaii* often were organized around themes, having to do with such subjects as immigrant groups and their heritage, race relations, social movements, Hawaii in the war, industrial sociology, sociology of religion, basic personal crises, communities--old and new, sociology of speech and language, social frontiers.

The twenty-five different issues have a variety of articles, many of them outstanding in the picture they give you of the intimacy of family life among the Portuguese, the Chinese, and all our groups, of the problems of interracial dating and marriage and family life, whites finding themselves a minority in Hawaii, the coming and adjustment of blacks in Hawaii, unionization on the plantation, case studies of delinquents, the role of "pidgin" English, religious cults and rites in several ethnic groups. Certain articles are little classics, for instance Herbert Blumer's "The Nature of Race Prejudice" (1919, republished in 1951) and "Paternalism in Industry" (1951). Certainly I found that by having my students read excellent articles by other students I could expect better papers from them. I finally selected sixty-three articles from the issues between 1925 to 1950 and put them out as *Community Forum in Hawaii*, issued by the University Bookstore. This was an important text in the course by the same name. Its index has proved useful through the years. It is now out of print.

Kum Pui Lai, student editor of Volumes I and II, indexed all issues of *Social Process in Hawaii*. The University of Hawaii Library published this in 1971. The index also contains a complete chronological list of articles.

In the 1950s the Sociology Club grew weak and finally disappeared, as did other undergraduate departmental clubs. Eventually the work of publication became more and more the work of Lind and myself and the secretary of the Romano Adams Social Research Laboratory. In the hopes of attracting the interest of younger colleagues who felt Hawaii was a too con-fining subject, the "in Hawaii" was dropped from the title for the last two issues. This was, no doubt, a mistake, as it took away what was most distinctive and appealing about the publication. At any rate in 1963, during a reorganization of the University, the secretary was removed and the only possible choice was to cease publication. Many regrets were expressed to us, but this could not resurrect the journal.

In the years since 1963 there has been occasional discussion about a revival of *Social Process in Hawaii*. It is to me very gratifying that with the enthusiasm and persistence of Kiyoshi Ikeda, several of whose student articles grace past issues, and his colleagues, *Social Process in Hawaii* is now being revived. Congratulations to them and a toast to the revived *Social Process in Hawaii*. Since Hawaii continues to be a rich field for diverse sociological observation and research and for comparative studies, we look forward to many new years of a stimulating journal.
SELECTIONS FROM "THE CAUCASIAN MINORITY"
Bernhard L. Hor mann

These selections are from Professor Hor mann's important article in the 1950 issue of Social Pro cesses in Hawaii (volume 14). They provide a useful perspective from thirty years ago on the theme of ethnicity in Hawaii, which continues to be a controversial topic. Interest is reflected in student papers (including some which have won the Hor mann Prize Award in Sociology, established in honor of Professor Hor mann), a number of new scholarly books, and daily newspaper articles. Indeed, in a letter-to-the-editor of the Honolulu Advertiser, July 11, 1978, Professor Hor mann concludes with the remark, "The story of Hawaii's mixing is not yet finished, but according to the statistics, mixing is clearly ascendant over ethnic separation."
The Editors

The present article deals with the group which is usually considered dominant in Hawaii, the Hoa lees. From the point of view of numbers however, they are not dominant, but a minority like every other group in Hawaii. Because of their economic, political, and social dominance they sometimes have to face a form of opposition from all the other groups combined, and acquire in this situation, besides intensified numerical subordination, also the sociological role and psychological traits of a minority group. Furthermore, the Hoa lees population can itself be broken into several separate minority groups. The members of each, like persons from the non-Hoale ethnic groups, must find their natural place in the still evolving social structure of Hawaii.

To look at the Hoalees in this unusual perspective gives us an opportunity to understand their behavior somewhat more realistically. That at least is the burden of this article. It is indeed just because Hoalees tend to be generally regarded as a dominant group that they may have quite appropriately been considered a "neglected" minority group.

Before proceeding with our discussion, we may note how the usual emphasis on the dominance of the Hoalees leads to futile controversy and stands in the way of our understanding of the Island inter racial scene. When a group is "dominant," the assumption is that it is "responsible" or "to blame" for a situation. For instance, the person who comes from the Main land with no preparation for the local system of race relations and with previous experiences with persons of other races of an entirely different sort, is frequently bewildered and shocked by what he finds here, and consequently begins to criticize the racially "carenless" attitude of local Hoalees. Or, on the other hand, the newcomer may have expectations about Hawaii's racial harmony. When he runs into instances of discrimination, he tends to find the local Hoalees "at fault." There result many long, but vain arguments about whether the situation in Hawaii is "improving" or "deteriorating" and whether the prejudice which is found here is to be attributed to the kamaaina Hoale or to the recent Hoalee or per chance to the Oriental.

* * * * *

It is the writer's feeling that in attempting to interpret the behavior of peoples in the local situation an exaggerated amount of attention has been turned to the societies and cultures from which the peoples came, and far too little to the genesis of behavior patterns out of the developing local situations. One of Romanzo Adams' stories comes to mind. A Southern white man recently arrived in Honolulu was heard to remark, "Well, you may call them Kana kas, but where I come from we call 'em plovers." However, within a year this same man had married a woman of Hawaiian ancestry.

Turning now to the Hoalees, we will develop two important facets in their situation in Hawaii, both of which involve them in behavior characteristic, not of dominant, but of minority groups. These two important considerations are, first, relative Isolation of numerical minority status, and second, status ambivalence, that is, the problems of fitting into Hawaii's complex social structure marked by ethnic diversity and a two-class somewhat caste-like society in process of changing to the three-class system characteristic of the Mainland.

Hoa lee Diversity

As was suggested above, the Hoalees' minority position is intensified by their not being, in origin, a single group. The lack of fundamental unity among
Haole is something of which all local people are aware, and is perhaps best symbolized by the fact pointed out in earlier issues of Social Process that the Portuguese, while since 1940 having achieved official statistical recognition as Caucasians, are still not certain of their position as "Haole" (see, Normann, 1946, p. 30).

In further elaboration of this point of Haole diversity, the reader may be reminded of the many components which have gone into the local Haole population: Americans, French and English missionaries; sailors and beachcombers from all European nations; clerks and merchants, lawyers and physicians from England, Germany, and the United States; Caucasian sugar plantation workers from Portugal, Norway, Italy, Russia, Poland, and the United States; American troops and defense workers: these all have contributed to the local Haole population. Thus diversity is obvious.

The detailed facts about the Caucasian sugar plantation workers are not widely known and warrant a brief summary. Some years ago the writer made a study of the Germans in Hawaii and found that in the period from 1881 to 1897 almost 1,500 Germans, including men, women, and children, were recruited for the labor force of Hawaii's plantations.

The Germans had been preceded by the Portuguese, the first contingent of whom arrived in 1878, and who continued to come down into the second decade of this century. The 1930 Census, which was the last to take separate notice of them, found 27,548 Portuguese. They are by far the most important group of Caucasian immigrants of the peasant and laboring class. Most of the Portuguese came from the Madeira, and Azores Islands, some from Portugal proper, some from the Portuguese settlement in Massachusetts in about 1807 and also about that time about five hundred were brought back from California, where they had migrated from Hawaii. Before the arrival of the first German workers a total of 615 Norwegians had been introduced in 1881, most of them probably going to Maui plantations. Some of the ships bringing Germans in the 1880s and 1890s also brought in some Calificans from what was then the Polish part of the Austro-Hungarian Empire.

After annexation in 1898 and the organization of the Territory of Hawaii in 1900, American law put a step to the importation of contract labor. In 1907, the Gentleman's Agreement made impossible the further importation of Japanese males. Thus, Hawaii's sugar industry was threatened with serious labor shortages. Once again, white labor was considered.

Kava Plantation experimented with American farmers, introducing fifteen families from the Western states. House were erected especially for them. They were each given a garden plot and a "house" for their combined use as pasture. They were assigned lots in the cane fields to be cared for by them. The arrangement was apparently mutually unsatisfactory. After about a year none of the families remained.

According to Governor Carter "the white man can not and will not stand the work of tropical cane fields" (Governor of Hawaii, 1984, p. 10).

Some Italians were also introduced. Whether any came directly from Italy and whether there were several importations the writer has been unable to determine. There was certainly mention as well as actual investigation of Italy as a possible source in the late 1870s (Normann, 1948). The 1900 Census found fifty-eight foreign born Italians, three-fourths of whom were males. A few Italians were brought in from the sugar plantations of Louisiana, probably soon after annexation (U.S., Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1902, p. 22). In 1907 some effort was made to bring in Italian immigrants from New York, but nothing materialized (Board of Immigration of Hawaii, 1909).

Whether Hindus should be mentioned here depends on the definition of Caucasian. In view of the fact, however, that no trace of this group remains, it is surprising to note that Hawaii's Board of Immigration notes the importation of over four hundred in the period from 1905 to 1911, almost exclusively males.

The Russians too have left little trace, and yet in the period from 1905 to 1912 the Board of Immigration reports that a total of 2,056 Russians, many in family groups, were imported from Manchuria. They do not seem to have remained long in plantation work, but not all left immediately, for many took various skilled and unskilled non-plantation jobs and around Honolulu.

These Russians had been preceded by a group of 110, all belonging to the Molokan sect, who were brought in from Los Angeles in 1896 and placed on lands of the Kealia plantation. Disagreement quickly developed and they left, having been declared locally a failure (Thur's Annual, 1907).
Seventeen Poles were introduced in 1913. They all went to Wailuku, where they had relatives.

The only other major Caucasian group to be mentioned is the Spanish (see Brooke, 1948). Just under eight thousand came in from 1907 through 1913. They soon, like the others, left in large numbers for the Mainland, so that by 1938, the Bureau of Vital Statistics estimated that the Spanish population, including Hawaiian-born, numbered only 1,248 (Schnack, n.d.).

It must not be assumed that for all these groups the migration away from Hawaii was complete. Germans, Norwegians, Russians, Spanish, Italians remained and have become merged, both with the wider Caucasian group and the wider population as a whole.

Isolation

If we consider the total number of persons classified in this wider Caucasian group, we find them achieving their highest proportion in the total Island population on July 1, 1948, when they constituted by estimate 31.4 per cent of the civilian population of the Islands. According to the most recent estimate of the Bureau of Health Statistics, their proportion on January 1, 1950 was down to 29.6 per cent.

At earlier dates the proportion of Caucasians was even smaller. In the 1910, 1920, and 1930 Censuses the Caucasians came consistently to just under or just over 20 per cent. In 1940 their percentage was 24.5. These percentages, however, included military population stationed in Hawaii, as the figures after 1940 do not.

The percentage of Caucasian school children has been very small. In 1945, when the last returns by race of the school population are available, the percentage of Caucasian children in the total public and private school population was only 5 per cent. Interestingly enough, the percentage of Caucasian children has shrunk over the years. In 1928, for instance, the Caucasian children came to 17 per cent of the total school population. This shrinking is due to the growth of a large second and third generation in the non-Caucasian immigrant groups.

In a very real sense, therefore, it is correct to speak of the Hāoles as always having constituted a numerical minority in the Islands.

This numerical deficiency of the socially, economically, and politically dominant group, when combined with other factors, such as the non-contiguity of the Island territory to the U.S. Mainland and the expense and complications of travel to home territory and the climatic, cultural, linguistic, and racial uniqueness of the Islands, makes for the isolation to which earlier reference was made.

* * * * * * *

Psychologically, the sensitive Hāole who comes to Hawaii expecting to mingle with people of different races but who is soon told by the resident Hāoles with whom he is first thrown into contact that he must confine his more intimate social life to Hāoles, is also put in a difficult situation conducive of malaise.

The writer has been told that Army and Navy authorities have a persistent problem involving some wives of officers and enlisted men who "hate" Hawaii and have to be transferred back to the Mainland because of their unhappiness.

The superficial diagnosis for these maladjusted persons frequently is that they could not stand the climate. In this connection it is significant that a geographer, A. Grenfell Price, in a comprehensive study of the acclimatization of whites in the tropics came to the conclusion that isolation rather than climate was involved. He noted that on all cultural frontiers, regardless of climate, where whites have resided and been outnumbered numerically by a native population, maladjustment is common. On the other hand, when whites have colonized tropical areas and supplanted the native population, this sort of maladjustment tended to be absent (Price, 1939).

For persons to whom Hawaii is their native home, it may be difficult to understand that people from the Mainland can have such a sense of isolation. It is necessary for us to put ourselves imaginatively into the place of people who are separated by over two thousand miles of water from the continent on which their loved ones live. On the Mainland they can travel easily and cheaply by coach or bus or in their own car over distances of many hundreds of miles. In illness and in death, for marriages and other happy occasions, close relatives can get in touch with each other. If the persons come from smaller communities, they are used to moral support, the friendly interest of neighbors.
In Honolulu, conditions are radically different. The sense of distance, in spite of the speed of air travel, overpowers every family of moderate income whose closest ties are with the Mainland. In the urban setting, neighborhood relations are established only with difficulty. The local Haole population has sometimes been characterized as stand-offish except to people who come with "good introductions." If the Mainland Haole chances to move into a neighborhood having several non-Haole families, difference and anxiety on both sides may prevent the establishment of more intimate relations. If in addition, the children in the neighborhood speak the local dialect, and the neighbors in various ways exhibit "strange" customs, the Mainland Haole family may have all their fears confirmed of being isolated in a strange land.

Thus, while with the increasing urbanization and Americanization of Hawaii's peoples and with the reduction in travel time, this sense of isolation and malaise is being reduced, it nevertheless, even today, is a factor which helps us to understand the behavior of immigrant Haoles.

The Changing Class Structure

We may now proceed to our second point, namely the problem faced by the newly-arrived Haole when he seeks to fit into the evolving local class structure. This class structure has been described up to recently as a two-class system. ... What happens to Haole groups and individuals who enter this society, where, for long, the top class was largely Haole and the bottom class largely non-Haole?

* * * * * * *

Haoles coming directly from the Mainland have left a predominantly middle-class society to enter a society in various stages of transition from two to three classes. Where have they fit in?

Three possibilities are open to them if they are middle-class people, as probably most of them are. They enter the upper class; they join the lower class; or they stay in their middle class in a society in which the middle class is only in process of formation.

* * * * * * *

The middle-class Haole from the Mainland who enters upperclass society in Hawaii feels somewhat out of place. He tends to take on some of the characteristics of upwardly mobile people, of the keepers-up-with-the-Joneses: aggressiveness, snobishness, self-advertising, conspicuous consumption. This sort of behavior of course also characterizes local Haoles working their way up from a lower to a higher class position (and incidentally also local non-Haoles moving upward in the social scale). Among such people strong prejudices, often in the form of race prejudices, make their appearance.

It is important to see these prejudices as products of the dynamics of the local situation rather than as importations from the Mainland.

Very few Mainland Haoles who have come into the Territory in the last thirty years have been lower-class Haoles, and few middle-class Haoles have moved downward.

* * * * * * *

This rise of a middle class composed of both Mainland Haole and local non-Haole elements raises intriguing questions about the direction which race relations will take in the future. It is the writer's feeling, based on recent observations, that within this middle class, race conflict will steadily decline. The feeling of identification with a middle class whose dominance will become increasingly apparent will prove stronger than ethnic identity. On the part of the local population, a sense of differentiation from the lower class was manifested in 1948 and 1949 when legislation was passed calling for the gradual return to a single standard school system. Interestingly enough, great concern was shown by some local non-Haole parents whose children were attending the English standard schools, that their association with the children of the pre-standard schools would injure them. There was the suggestion of a fear of contamination by lower-class children, even though these might belong to the same ethnic group. On the part of the Mainland derived middle-class neighborhoods one now frequently sees groups of children playing who are ethnically varied. Adults recently from the Mainland are able quickly to establish friendships with local people. In P.T.A. and similar community organizations effective committee work involves cooperation between persons from several races.
The maturation of the middle class in Honolulu and its increasing influence over the whole society means that the colonial or frontier or plantation era in Hawaii is about to pass into history. Hawaii’s admission to the union as a forty-ninth or fiftieth state will be the symbol that Hawaii’s social structure has attained the characteristics of American society. It will augur the disappearance of minorities, Oriental, Hawaiian, and Haole.

---
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Postscript 1979

In the more than twenty-five years since I wrote "The Caucasian Minority" several significant changes have occurred. Hawaii has attained statehood. Air transportation has become almost the sole mode of

---

In the main, I believe my article still helps us to understand aspects of Caucasian behavior which might otherwise be hard to explain.

---

The Caucasian Minority

---
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THE ETHNIC LAWYER AND SOCIAL STRUCTURE:
THE JAPANESE ATTORNEY IN HONOLULU

George Yamamoto

Although it was written in the late 1960s based on research conducted in 1959, Professor Yamamoto's article on Japanese lawyers in Honolulu has heretofore only been privately circulated and never before published. Unfortunately it remains the only piece of systematic social science research on any of the professions in Hawaii.

The legal profession in Hawaii has changed dramatically since Yamamoto conducted his research. Some of the Japanese lawyers in his sample have attained high political office and some of the most successful and prestigious law firms in Honolulu are comprised of Japanese partners. Hundreds of new lawyers are now admitted to the bar in Hawaii every year, both graduates of mainland law schools and graduates of the new University of Hawaii School of Law which opened in 1973. Japanese and Chinese lawyers are now firmly established in Hawaii's legal profession. However, Hawaiians, Filipinos, and Samoans are significantly underrepresented. The UH School of Law has a special interest in these groups and has made significant gains in recruiting talented members into the legal profession. Women constitute the most newly discovered underrepresented group and now comprise approximately 40 percent of the UH law students. What will be the experiences of these new groups as they enter the legal profession is now only a matter for speculation. But it is clear that Professor Yamamoto's study begs for an update.

The Editors

Hughes (1971), Caplow (1954), and Riesman (1951), among others, have pointed out that there is a tendency for an occupational status, in addition to its specifically determining traits such as technical qualifications, to develop a complex of auxiliary or extra-occupational traits which come to be expected of incumbents. In a period of rapid social change and extensive social mobility, people with auxiliary characteristics such as racial or ethnic backgrounds other than those long associated with the established profession begin to appear on the scene. In professions such as medicine and law in the United States, this has meant a social allocation of persons into a variety of occupational positions within the profession in which the auxiliary characteristics of race, ethnicity, or religion, play an important contingent role.

The careers of persons in the legal profession as they are affected by their ethnic and religious characteristics and the consequences for the bar have received special attention in recent years. Hale (1949) described the greatly circumscribed careers of Negro lawyers in Chicago in the late 1940s, the limitations on their work as lawyers being related to the stratification of races in the city. Lortie's (1959) study described the main institutional settings within which lawyers practice their profession in Chicago, and showed that career differentiation among lawyers becomes set rather quickly within a few years after graduation from law school, this crystallization into different routes being heavily influenced by the socio-economic and ethno-religious backgrounds of the lawyers' parents and their relationship to the type of law training received.

In his study of individual practitioners in Chicago, Carlin (1962) provided a detailed description of the work setting of solo lawyers and the types of skills employed by them and pointed to their inferior status in the system of stratification that has arisen in the legal profession with the great increase in the number of lawyers and the rise of huge law firms. This stratification of lawyers in the metropolitan bar according to type and manner of work done is associated with type of law school attended, which in turn is related to the ethno-religious characteristics of the lawyers.

In both the Carlin and Lortie studies of Chicago lawyers, minority types of lawyers in entrepreneurial types of practice were found to have predominantly ethnic clienteles and were also found to be participating in petty local politics as an adjunct to or facilitator of their major work of providing legal services.

Ladinsky (1963) compared samples of solo and firm lawyers in Detroit, and his findings tend to corroborate the studies of the Chicago lawyers. Solo lawyers are predominantly "minority lawyers."
their formal education is "quantitatively less and qualitatively inferior" to that of firm lawyers, and their work history is more likely to be somewhat "disorderly—having many jobs but not in orderly progression, and doing marginal law work." Family and school background affect the social allocation process through both personal self-selection and differential recruitment by firms. Ledinsky drew implications for legal practice and the law from this process of allocation: poorly trained men are likely to end up in individual practices and do most of the "dirty work" of the bar; there has been extensive elaboration of legal procedures to handle problems of corporate enterprise with the flow of tainted persons from quality law schools as opposed to developments for the care of problems of private citizens; there has evolved in urban centers of the United States what can be called an ethnic bar, characterized by many mediocre lawyers competing for the same bread-and-butter cases; and finally and ironically, it is the solo lawyer rather than the large firm lawyer whose professional employment is most vulnerable to bureaucratization through their salaried employment in lay enterprises that more and more have been successfully moving into work involving titles and abstracts, collections, taxes, etc.

Baigel (1964) studied lawyers of the largest firms in New York and concluded that there was considerable professional autonomy even within the context of important bureaucratic firms. Recruitment of lawyers into these Wall Street firms whose special function is to give advice in the practice of corporate law is based on the candidate's academic achievement, attendance at preferred law schools, lineage, and personality. Racial and ethno-religious minority candidates do not readily meet those criteria to the satisfaction of the firms and hence their numbers in these firms are small. Baigel notes, however, that with increasing competition for the hiring of able young lawyers, discrimination in the matter of hiring has been eroding as an increasing number of Jews graduate at the top of their classes in the Ivy League colleges and law schools.

The findings of these studies of lawyers in large American urban centers point to the type of law school attended as the crucial contingency with respect to allocation of lawyers in the legal profession. Racial and ethno-religious background is related to parental occupational and financial status and to perceptions of future roles as lawyers, and these in turn lead to selection of and selection by various types of law schools.

Japanese Lawyers in Honolulu

The process of allocation with the law school playing a key role a general phenomenon throughout the United States? Information was collected in Honolulu to test the likely linkage between racial background, legal education, and type of professional work. While Honolulu is an obviously smaller urban center than the metropolises of Chicago and Detroit, the conditions operating to allocate ethnic minority lawyers into different career paths from those of "native American" lawyers in mainland metropolitan centers also appear to be operating in Hawaii: (1) a "new breed" of lawyers emerging out of immigrant backgrounds without family or ethnic attributes long associated with the practice of law; (2) the established law firms' primary function of providing legal services to the large corporate enterprises controlled by "native Americans"; (3) the existence of "dirty work" that has to be done by somebody, and the presence of the minority status population itself as a source of petty legal work for lawyers.

Seventy-five Japanese-American lawyers in Honolulu, a two-thirds sample of the Japanese-American lawyers in Honolulu as of 1959, were interviewed. This report is based on the collected information relevant to family background, legal education, supplemented by information available in the Honolulu City Directory of Attorneys (1921, 1959) and in the Bancroft-Whitney Directory of Attorneys, State of Hawaii (1958).

Types of Employment

Of the three areas of primary concern in this paper—social origins of family background, legal education, and type of employment—the last will be considered first. The figures for 1920 (Table 1) showing no Japanese attorneys and eighty Caucasians out of a total of eighty-five attorneys in Honolulu, together with the 1958 situation provide a picture of the minority status lawyer appearing on the scene
in relatively large numbers in a period of a few decades. In 1959 the proportion of non-Caucasian lawyers who were members of law firms of any size was about half the proportion of Caucasian lawyers who were law firm members. This picture suggests the familiar pattern of the Chicago and Detroit

| TABLE 1. Type of Employment of Lawyers of Honolulu, By Race, 1920 and 1958 |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Type of Employment          | Caucasian | Japanese | Others | Total |
| Law Firm                    | 30     | 3       | 28     | 55    |
| Individual Practice         | 41     | 26      | 15     | 82    |
| Gov't Employment            | 18     | 8       | 10     | 36    |
| Other Employment            | 15     | 1       | 13     | 39    |
| Total                       | 100    | 80      | 80     | 260   |
| (N)                         | (200)  | (100)   | (100)  | (400) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1958</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Law Firm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gov't Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


allocation of lawyers. But a further breakdown of law firm membership by race according to size of firm (Table 2) reveals that no non-Caucasian was a member of any firm with six or more lawyers, while half of the law firm Caucasians were members of these large (for Hawaii) firms. All of these large firms provided legal services to the corporate enterprises collectively known as "The Big Five." The association of race or ethnicity with large law firms, and the latter with large business corporations is perhaps even more pronounced than the relationship reported for Chicago and Detroit.

TABLE 2. Racial Distribution of Lawyers by Size of Law Firms, Honolulu, 1920 and 1958

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of Firm</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>Japanese</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 and over</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to 6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 and 3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>(72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1958

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of Firm</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>Japanese</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 and over</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to 6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 and 3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N)</td>
<td>(160)</td>
<td>(160)</td>
<td>(160)</td>
<td>(480)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Social Origins

Entry into the legal profession in Hawaii for persons of racial backgrounds other than Caucasian is a relatively recent development. Like the ethnoreligious minority-status lawyers of the metropolitan bars in the 1950s, but to a greater degree, the Japanese lawyers of Honolulu are predominantly second-generation persons (68 of the sample of 75 were born in the United States--67 in Hawaii, 1 in California--of immigrant parents from Japan; the remaining 7 lawyers are second, or third generation).

Inspection of "father's occupation" (Table 3) suggests a generally modest family background among these 75 lawyers, as might be expected of children of immigrants who in the main migrated to take up work in the sugar and pineapple plantations and who had relatively little formal schooling. The percentage of blue-collar origin (35%) is greater than the percentage reported by Carlin, Lottie, and Ledinsky for
solo and two-man ethnic partnerships, and the individual proprietor or small entrepreneur type of parental occupation is smaller in percentage for the

TABLE 3. Period Admitted to Bar by Occupation of Fathers of Sample of 75 Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Father's Occupation</th>
<th>Admitted Before 1947 or Earlier</th>
<th>Admitted 1948 or Later</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil engineer, clergyman, language school principal</td>
<td>(4) 29</td>
<td>(2) 3</td>
<td>(6) 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Collar:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small firm or plant manager, salesman, bookkeeper</td>
<td>(8) 29</td>
<td>(12) 20</td>
<td>(16) 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual proprietor:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail store owner, tailor, real estate broker, photographer, farmer</td>
<td>(6) 43</td>
<td>(21) 34</td>
<td>(27) 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Collar:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter, mechanic, welder, waiter, cab driver, laborer</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>(26) 43</td>
<td>(26) 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>(14) 101</td>
<td>(64) 100</td>
<td>(75) 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Influence, however, in increasing the tempo of Japanese and other Oriental participation in the city council and the state legislature and thus affecting the rate of social and economic change through political decision making in postwar Hawaii.

In the main, family background for the Honolulu Japanese lawyers does not differ from that reported for the Mainland urban center solo lawyers. Were it not for the special government educational benefit opportunity that many veterans took advantage of, small entrepreneur, white-collar, and modest professional immigrant-status parental backgrounds would be predominant.

Pre-Legal and Legal Education

It is in the facet of formal education--college and law school--that Honolulu's Japanese lawyers, who were all in solo or small partnerships or in government service (i.e., no one was a member of the larger, established law firms of Honolulu), differ most from their counterparts in Chicago and Detroit. Table 4 shows that 95 percent (72 out of 75 lawyers) of the Honolulu Japanese sample had received a bachelor's degree before enrolling in law school, compared with 43 percent, 60 percent, and 44 percent respectively for the Lindsby, Lottie, and Carlin samples.

The difference in legal education in terms of type of law school attended is equally impressive (Tables 6 and 7). A goodly proportion of Honolulu
TABLE 5. Undergraduate Education of Honolulu Japanese, Detroit, and Chicago Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honolulu</th>
<th>Detroit</th>
<th>Chicago</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>Solo Firm</td>
<td>&quot;Lorette&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has no B.A. degree</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has B.A. degree</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = (75) (100) (107) (72) (84)

Sources: Detroit sample: Ladinsky (1963); Chicago samples: Lorette (1959); Carlin (1962)

Japanese lawyers attended the more prestigious schools and not a single one got his law degree from a "proprietary" school. It is clearly evident that in Honolulu, up to the late 1950s, type of law school attended was not relevant for the lawyer employment allocation process, although it appears to have been a crucial contingency in the major alternative occupational routes for lawyers in Chicago and Detroit. While the fact that Hawaii did not have law schools of any kind had obviously affected the type-of-law-school-attended distribution of these Japanese lawyers, it nevertheless remains true that their legal education varied considerably from that of the bulk of the Mainland urban center ethnic minority and/or solo lawyers.

TABLE 6. Affiliation of Law Schools Attended—Honolulu Japanese, Detroit, and Chicago Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honolulu</th>
<th>Detroit</th>
<th>Chicago</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>Solo Firm</td>
<td>&quot;Lorette&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Non-Catholic</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State University</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprietary</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = (75) (100) (107) (72) (84)

Sources: see Table 5.

TABLE 7. Type of Law School Attended—Honolulu Japanese and Detroit Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honolulu Japanese</th>
<th>Detroit Solos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Top National&quot; schools (Chicago, Columbia, Harvard, Michigan, Yale)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and &quot;local&quot; schools (other than Michigan)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprietary schools</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = (100) (100) (107)

*The 'top national' schools list is Ladinsky's.

To my knowledge, even up through the late 1960s there was no non-Caucasian partner in any of the large, long-established law firms in Hawaii, although there were a number of small inter-racial partnerships. Interview materials suggest that there has been some self-selection on the part of Japanese lawyers in avoiding employment with the big firms on grounds, for example, of "not wanting to give them the satisfaction of turning me down," or of independence—"Who wants to be just a hired hand?" A few non-Caucasians have actually worked as clerks in some of these law firms over the decades, but none of them has worked himself into partnership in these firms. It is possible that the established law firms have had a policy of not taking any "local boy," Caucasian or Oriental, on the assumption that local boys tend to be lacking in pizzazz and local-dialect-accented in speech. It appears that up to World War II, recruitment and eventual partnership in these firms always involved young men from the Mainland. By the late 1960s, however, a few Hawaiian-born Caucasians, possibly with the acceptable type of lineage, have been hired and later accepted into partnership.

We could speculate that a practical reason lay behind the seemingly studied avoidance of Oriental and other non-Caucasian lawyers on the part of the big law firms, however good the educational background.
of those non-Caucasians. The large law firms have long been legal counsel for the complex of related businesses, the earlier-mentioned “Big Five,” shipping, hotel, and other enterprises, etc., all owned of old American stock. Sons of Asian immigrants of Big Five “secrets,” not privy to the population at large, becoming accessible to representatives of the non-elite, minority status ethnic groups.

While the old established law firms associated with the old established corporations in Hawaii of non-Caucasians (together with a couple of prestige socioeconomic social classes), the business corporations themselves in the lower and middle executive levels since the non-Caucasians and of World War II. Their boards of directors, which is now sprinkled with the names of persons whose fathers a good percentage of these Oriental board members are sons of non-Caucasians appears to be an irreproachable non-Asian lawyer here and a Chinese lawyer, particularly the proper legal training and proper degree of poise and skill to be providing legal services as members of these large firms.

The possibility of non-Caucasians in the large executive and board levels of the larger local corporations appear intimately related to the increasing influence of non-Caucasians in Hawaii's political-political--a change that is not developed in this paper except to mention the important role of non-Caucasian lawyers in local political decision making. Politics has been an outlet for Japanese lawyers, particularly those in the armed forces, who have felt that this Community. Politics has also been viewed as a way for many as a temporary refuge while they earned their degrees and got experience in the law as politically appointed government attorneys. Active political participation has meant also the appearance of non-Caucasian lawyers in many positions where formerly such high judicial judgeships were out of reach of Orientals.

Most specifically, non-Caucasian lawyers have invaded the State Legislature (Table 8) and the County Council. In 1959, 36 of 76 state legislators were lawyers, and of those 36, twenty were Japanese and another nine were of Chinese, Korean, or Russian ancestry. In addition, of the four congressional seats for the new state of Hawaii, two were held by a Japanese lawyer and a Chinese lawyer. Today (1966), all four state senators are filled by Oriental lawyers, three Japanese (one a woman) and one Chinese.

The Chicago and Detroit studies of lawyers do note the ties between the minority status practitioner and local politics, but it would seem that the geographically isolated, rather closed Hawaiian situation and the high proportion of non-Caucasians, most of whom are now of the citizen generation, have enabled the ethnic minority lawyer in Hawaii to wield a greater degree of political influence in the state and to rise to high political and judicial positions in a briefer period of time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>Japanese</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Lawyer</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ETHNICITY AND RAPE IMPACT:
THE RESPONSES OF WOMEN FROM DIFFERENT ETHNIC BACKGROUNDS TO RAPE AND TO RAPE CRISIS TREATMENT SERVICES IN HAWAII

Libby O. Ruch
and
Susan M. Chandler

Introduction and Background to the Problem

The primary objective of this research is to investigate the crisis and longer-term effects of sexual assault on women from different ethnic backgrounds in Hawaii.

Rape Trauma

Long a subject shrouded in taboos, stigmas, and myths, the critical subject of how sexual assault affects the victim has become the subject of recent empirical research. Several investigators (Burgess and Holstrom, 1974; Fox and Scharf, 1972; Bard and Eilson, 1974) have approached the problem of assessing the impact of rape on the victim by describing the responses frequently seen in rape victims and by outlining the implications of the data for crisis intervention and clinical treatment. For example, Burgess and Holstrom (1974) interviewed rape victims admitted to a hospital in Boston and concluded that there is a two-phase rape trauma syndrome. During the acute stage, which lasts for a few hours or days, the victims may experience many emotions, but the primary response is one of fear—the fear of being severely injured or killed. Their research describes a second stage of trauma in which the victim enters a long-term reorganization phase, often characterized by fear, anxiety, sleeping difficulties, sexual problems, and changes in life style. The reorganization phase may continue for weeks or months, depending on such factors as the woman's personality, the availability of support systems, and the response by significant others to the victim. Thus, the Burgess and Holstrom research (1974) documents clearly that rape has traumatic and often enduring effects on the victim.

A somewhat different methodological approach is illustrated by the Queen's Bench Foundation Rape Victimization Study (1975). Since many of these studies draw their samples from hospital admissions or police records which may bias their results in the direction of women who are willing to contact the police or who seek medical attention, here women from the San Francisco community were asked to volunteer for the study. The findings generated in this study also reflect the significant impact of sexual assault on women. The victims reported that their strongest emotion at the time of the rape was fear, while shortly after the assault they felt extremely helpless, anxious, angry, or depressed. This rape impact continued beyond the crisis period. Of the victims participating in the study, 67 percent felt that they had suffered long-term psychological effects as a result of the rape and 80 percent reported that the rape had affected their lives in a major way. This study also makes an important contribution to understanding rape victimization by examining the conditions in which rape impact varies among victims. For instance, victims who were raped by strangers experienced relatively high levels of rape impact and their subsequent concerns focused on personal safety. Rape impact was lower in situations where the rapist was known to the victim; subsequent concerns expressed by the women more often involved lowered self-esteem and problems relating to other individuals.

Ethnic and Organizational Effects

Current studies on rape victims indicate that rape impact can be severe and may extend over a considerable period of time. We propose that rape impact is not a unitary phenomenon and that more attention should be paid to the question of what conditions affect the degree and nature of rape impact over time. The studies previously cited suggest that the rape itself affects women, creating fear, anxiety, and a variety of other emotions. Moreover, the process of rape victimization is not limited to the assault but may occur any time after the rape; the difference is that while the rapist commits the first assault, members of the society and the network of rape-related organizations (hospitals, police, courts) may commit the subsequent victimizations (Bohmer and Blumberg, 1975).

Research has yet to be done which will yield systematic data on how women from different ethnic groups are affected by sexual assault and by the
treatment and criminal justice system. A case study (Ngo, 1975) suggests that Asian-American women are more likely than Caucasian women to be treated with skepticism and harassment in the criminal justice system because of racist stereotypes picturing Asian women as bar girls and prostitutes. It has also been suggested that certain ethnic groups, such as Asian-Americans, typically rely on the family rather than on public agencies in times of need. However, the Asian family may not be supportive to a family member who has been sexually victimized because of the fear of social stigma associated with sexual assault. Thus, the Asian-American victim may be even more isolated than other sexual assault victims from social and emotional support (National Rape Center Conference on Issues Pertaining to Sexual Assault: Special Populations, 1977). The purpose of the present research is to develop and test research questions concerning the relationship between ethnicity and rape impact.

Central Concepts

The concept of rape impact is defined as the change in the victim’s life following sexual victimization. Such life changes may be physiological (e.g., medical injuries, pregnancy), psychological (e.g., depression, phobias), or social (e.g., interpersonal problems, loss of job). Rape impact is conceptualized both as the direct consequence of rape (such as a resulting pregnancy) and the more indirect long-range consequences (such as the grand jury’s failure to indict an assailant which results in the woman’s feeling that she was not believed). Since rape impact varies in symptomology and in intensity, this research will examine two dimensions of rape impact. The level of rape impact refers to the degree to which the victim’s life is affected by the victimization; the type of rape impact refers to the nature of the problems encountered by the victim.

Research Questions

The present research allows the comparison of women from distinct ethnic backgrounds in Hawaii—Caucasian, Asian, and Hawaiian—who were the victims of sexual assault. A number of significant questions can be pursued concerning ethnic variations, including the following:

1. Do women from different ethnicities experience different types of rape impact (concerns, emotions, and problems)?

2. Is the level of rape impact (the degree to which the rape affects the victim) different among women of diverse ethnicities?

3. Do victims from varying ethnic backgrounds request or receive differential treatment from medical and legal organizations?

Methodology

The Rape Treatment Center and the Victims

The data were collected by interviewing every adult woman admitted to the rape crisis treatment center in Honolulu, Hawaii, during a fourteen-month period. During this time 212 victims were treated at the center and about half of them returned for follow-up medical and counseling services.

The rape treatment center is housed in a hospital and offers comprehensive medical, social, and legal support services to victims of sexual assault. Emergency services are available twenty-four hours a day to women who have been assaulted within two days of contacting the center; nonemergency and follow-up services are provided during regular office hours at the hospital. While the available services include legal evidence collection as well as medical examinations for injury and prophylactic treatment for venereal disease and pregnancy, the victim is eligible for services whether or not she is reporting the assault to the police. All services are free and confidential. The victim is accompanied through the treatment process by a staff social worker during office hours or by a volunteer crisis worker during nonoffice hours. The social worker or crisis worker interviews the victim concerning the nature of the rape impact and assesses the level of emotional trauma.

The rape center provides an excellent site for studying the impact of sexual assault because it is the sole treatment center for sexual assault in the state and every known victim (whether referred by the police or other community agency) is treated there. Approximately two-thirds of the state’s population is concentrated in the urban area and county where the center is located.

Measure of Rape Impact

The concept of rape impact refers to the change in the victim’s life following victimization and has
two dimensions—the type of rape impact is the nature of
the particular concern the woman has and the level of
rape impact is an assessment of how severely she
has been affected by the assault.

Since we are concerned with longitudinal rape
impact, a series of instruments is employed to gather
information on the rape impact at different points in
time. The woman is interviewed shortly after the
assault at intake, about two weeks later, and then
whenever she contacts the center during the year
following the assault.

The type of rape impact is measured by asking the
woman "How are you feeling now? Can you tell me about
any concerns or worries you are having now?" The
answers are coded in terms of the categories which
have been found to subsume most responses (e.g., anger
towards the assailant, fear for personal safety, anxiety
about the judicial process, etc.).

The level of rape impact is measured by questions
contained in the initial and follow-up interview
schedules which ask the crisis worker or the social
worker for an "assessment of the emotional impact of
the assault on the victim." Criteria for assessing the
level of rape impact include behavioral (e.g., does the
victim cry or tremble throughout part of or most of the
interview), emotional (e.g., does she express mild or
intense fear or self-blame), and cognitive (e.g., can she
make plans for dealing with the rape or is she
relatively confused and disoriented). The degree or
level of impact is measured by the responses which form
a six-point scale, ranging from "no emotional impact"
which is scored as one point to "extremely severe
emotional impact" which is scored as six points. These
scores for the victims are summed and averaged to
produce a mean rape impact score. Thus, a high level
of rape trauma is indicated by a high score, while a
low score reflects a low level of rape impact experi-
enced by the victim. Despite the use of standardized
coding criteria and training for the crisis workers,
the preliminary analysis of the data indicated that
some raters tended to rate victims consistently higher
than other raters. To adjust for this variation, the
raw rape impact scores were transformed into z-scores
and adjusted to make the overall mean and range of
scores similar for all workers. The adjusted rape
impact measure is utilized for the data collected when
the victim is admitted to the hospital since there are
several crisis workers. Adjustment of the follow-up
rape impact scores is not necessary because only the

staff social worker assesses the victim at the follow-
up visits.

Individual and Social Characteristics of the Victim

The woman is asked to give her ethnic identification
at her first visit to the center and is classified as
Caucasian, Chinese, Filipino, Japanese, Korean,
Black, Hawaiian or part-Hawaiian, mixed, or other
ethnicity. The mixed category is for those victims
whose parents have different ethnicities. Part-
Hawaiians, however, are not coded as mixed but are
grouped with Hawaiians because there are few pure
Hawaiians and because mixed Hawaiians tend to identify
with their Hawaiian heritage rather than their other
ethnicity.

The victims treated at the center come from
diverse ethnic backgrounds. This paper focuses on the
three ethnic groups (H-162) who were most numerous in
the patients population—Caucasian, Asian-American
(Chinese, Japanese, and Korean), and Hawaiian or part-
Hawaiian—so that the sample size would be sufficient
to allow meaningful comparisons between ethnic groups.

Questions in the first interview also concern the
woman's social class, marital status, number and age of
children, and support systems, etc. Additional ques-
tions are asked in the follow-up interview about the
woman's emotional state and stressful life changes
prior to the sexual assault. The responses to these
interview questions are coded into predetermined cate-
gories on the interview schedule; these categories
reflect the most common responses which have been
encountered in the research project.

Since the level and type of rape impact may
reflect the nature of the assault and the assailant,
the victim is asked questions about the assailant,
such as her race, victims of men involved in the assault and
the relationship between the assailant(s) and the vic-
tim. The kinds of force used in the assault and the
degree of injuries sustained by the victim are mea-
sured by questions on the medical record completed by
the examining physician.

The response data from these questions dealing
with the social-psychological characteristics of the
victim and the rape itself will be analyzed to deter-
mine if there is an association with the degree and
type of rape impact at different points in time. For
example, it may be that victims of various ethnic groups
are initially fearful about their personal safety, but later the Caucasian women may be more angry about the judicial process, while the Asian women may be more concerned about their relationships with family and friends.

**Results**

**Type of Rape Impact**

Rape is a frightening experience for the vast majority of women and no a relatively similar response to the sexual assault might be expected. When asked by the crisis workers to recall how they felt during the assault, 71 percent of the victims reported experiencing fear for their lives (and for others if their children were present). The high proportion of women experiencing fear validates the perspective that rape is an act of violence and aggression perpetrated against the victim. Table 1 indicates that 38 percent of the victims recalled feeling helpless during the assault, while 31 percent experienced anger toward the assailant. These three reactions were found among all victims irrespective of ethnicity, but there is also some variation among the ethnic groups in the frequency with which these emotions are reported. Hawaiian women, while expressing fear most often as a reaction to the rape, mention it in only 55 percent of the cases compared with 74 percent of the Caucasian women. Helplessness, however, is recalled more often by Asian and Hawaiian women (45 percent) than by Caucasian women (37 percent). Hawaiians also express much more anger (40 percent) and disgust (20 percent) with the assailant than the Asian and Caucasian women.

The victims were also interviewed about their emotional concerns when they were treated at the rape treatment center (typically within hours or a day after the assault). As shown in Table 2, the women continued to be frightened (41 percent) but also express a number of other concerns at intake especially about how their families will react and about the possible medical implications of the rape (e.g., injury, pregnancy, venereal disease).

Fear for personal safety continues to be the primary concern for most women at the initial interview but is expressed by more Asian (65 percent) and Hawaiian (59 percent) victims than Caucasians (37 percent). The Hawaiian and Asian women are also clearly more often worried about the reaction of their families and experience feelings of helplessness and shame. Hawaiian women report more concerns overall than do the other women at intake. Thus, these data indicate that the impact of a sexual assault is different for different ethnic groups even within hours of the assault. This finding is extremely important for the development of effective crisis intervention programs.

The victims were also interviewed at their follow-up visit, approximately two weeks after the assault (see Table 3). Even though some time has passed since the rape, fear for personal safety continues to be the most frequent concern (expressed by 30 percent of all the victims). Fear of pregnancy and venereal disease is reported by 29 percent of the women. Eighty percent of the victims experience problems at work and 26 percent express difficulties relating to their families or friends.

While these concerns are important for all women, the priority differs among the three ethnic groups. The Hawaiian women are especially apt to have problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Rape Impact During the Assault*</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Hawaiian</th>
<th>Victims</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fear for Personal Safety</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(105)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(129)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helplessness</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(52)</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>(70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger toward Assailant</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(44)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disgust with Assailant</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-blame</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The victims may report experiencing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>more than one emotion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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at work (33 percent), fear for their personal safety (44 percent), and anger toward the police (22 percent). The Caucasian victims also share the concern about safety (29 percent) and their medical condition (26 percent), but at this point in time are having more interpersonal difficulties with significant others than are the non-Caucasian women (23 percent). The Asian women also are concerned about the medical implications of the rape (33 percent), and about their personal safety (25 percent), but do not express problems with work, relating to family, or anger toward the police. These data indicate both that the concerns of a rape victim change over time and that the impact of rape is different for women from different ethnic backgrounds.

### Level of Rape Impact

The second basic question concerns whether women from different ethnic groups suffer more impact from the sexual assault; Table 6 shows the mean rape impact scores for all victims and for the victims from each ethnic group. The higher the rape impact score, the higher the level of rape impact.

Very clear differences are seen in the level of rape trauma experienced by the women when admitted to the rape treatment center soon after the assault. Asian women ($X = 3.88$) and Hawaiian women ($X = 3.60$) are assessed by the social worker and crisis workers as more emotionally traumatized than the Caucasian women ($X = 3.10$) soon after the sexual victimization. Thus, while rape is traumatic for all its victims, the immediate effect is more pronounced in the non-Caucasian victims.

Do these differences among women in Hawaii continue or are they associated more with crises rather than with long-range consequences? When the women return to the center about two weeks after the assault to receive follow-up medical attention, their level of rape trauma is assessed by the social worker. As shown in Table 4, the rape impact score has decreased from $X = 3.28$ to $X = 3.05$ indicating that the

---

### Table 2. Type of Rape Impact at Initial Interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Hawaiian</th>
<th>All Victims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fear for Personal Safety</td>
<td>37% (52)</td>
<td>6% (13)</td>
<td>10% (10)</td>
<td>44% (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger toward Assaultant</td>
<td>34% (48)</td>
<td>30% (6)</td>
<td>20% (4)</td>
<td>32% (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concern about Medical Implications</td>
<td>32% (46)</td>
<td>20% (4)</td>
<td>45% (9)</td>
<td>32% (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concern about Reaction of Family and Others</td>
<td>22% (30)</td>
<td>30% (6)</td>
<td>10% (2)</td>
<td>28% (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helplessness</td>
<td>13% (15)</td>
<td>20% (4)</td>
<td>34% (6)</td>
<td>14% (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shame, Embarrassment</td>
<td>6% (8)</td>
<td>15% (2)</td>
<td>40% (8)</td>
<td>10% (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>78% (142)</td>
<td>11% (20)</td>
<td>11% (20)</td>
<td>100% (182)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The victims may report experiencing more than one emotion.*

---

### Table 3. Type of Rape Impact at Follow-up Interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Hawaiian</th>
<th>All Victims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fear for Personal Safety</td>
<td>29% (20)</td>
<td>25% (3)</td>
<td>44% (4)</td>
<td>30% (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concern about Medical Implications</td>
<td>26% (18)</td>
<td>33% (4)</td>
<td>44% (4)</td>
<td>29% (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family, Interpersonal, or Sexual Difficulties</td>
<td>21% (16)</td>
<td>0% (1)</td>
<td>11% (3)</td>
<td>20% (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems with Work</td>
<td>19% (13)</td>
<td>0% (1)</td>
<td>33% (3)</td>
<td>14% (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger toward Assaultant</td>
<td>16% (11)</td>
<td>0% (1)</td>
<td>0% (0)</td>
<td>13% (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger Toward Police</td>
<td>13% (9)</td>
<td>0% (1)</td>
<td>23% (2)</td>
<td>13% (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>77% (70)</td>
<td>13% (12)</td>
<td>10% (9)</td>
<td>100% (92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The victims may report experiencing more than one emotion.*

---
TABLE 4. Level of Rape Impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source over Time</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Hawaiian</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rape Impact Score</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at Initial Interview*</td>
<td>(135)</td>
<td>(120)</td>
<td>(120)</td>
<td>(175)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rape Impact Score</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at Follow-up Interview**</td>
<td>(58)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in Rape Impact</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The larger the score, the higher the level of rape impact on the victim.

**The N decreases because about half of the victims do not return to the center for follow-up services.

***The larger the score, the more the level of rape impact has decreased over time.

Emotionally traumatized at crisis. Examination of Table 2 indicates that these women were especially concerned about the reaction of their families. Perhaps the provision of skilled crisis intervention services with the victim and her family plus the close-knit nature of many Asian and Hawaiian families in Hawaii contribute to the dramatic decrease in the emotional trauma of the non-Caucasian victims. These data reflect both the importance of ethnic variations within a community and the importance of having rape treatment services for women and their families to lessen the tragic impact of sexual assault.

Organizational Involvement

The last question to be investigated is whether the degree and type of organizational involvement with the center varies between the ethnic groups who reside in Hawaii. As shown in Table 5, 70 percent of the woman provided crisis services at the rape center are Caucasian; 10 percent are Asian and Hawaiian respectively, and 10 percent are from various other ethnic groups. These statistics suggest that Caucasian women are overrepresented relative to their number in the general population, whereas Asian-American women are underrepresented. While these data may reflect that more Caucasians are sexually assaulted, it is also possible that Asian victims are less likely to utilize the rape center treatment services. The latter interpretation is consistent with the findings of studies on the utilization of mental health and social service organizations by ethnic groups in this community. Another factor which may affect the intake statistics is that the rape center was studied during its first year and a half of operation; the awareness of its services may not be widespread throughout the population or evenly distributed throughout the different ethnic groups residing in Hawaii.

About half (49 percent) of the victims return to the center for follow-up medical and counseling services. As shown in Table 5, the proportion of women returning to the center from each ethnic group is similar to the proportion utilizing the initial services. These data suggest that there is not a differential utilization of follow-up services by women from different ethnicities.

Women can receive services at the center whether or not they report the assault to the police. However, when the population of the women admitted to the center is analyzed, most of the victims are reporting the
TABLE 5. Ethnicity of Women in the State and Utilization of Mental Health and Rape Treatment Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Mental Health Service Admissions*</th>
<th>Rape Victims Treated at Center</th>
<th>Initial Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>9%**</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaiian</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Ethnic Groups</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>35%**</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Department of Health, Health Surveillance Program, Research and Statistics Office, State of Hawai'i, 1976

**Koreans are included in the "Other Ethnic Groups" category in these mental health statistics but are in the "Asian" category throughout the rest of the paper.

assault to the police (82 percent of the Asians, 73 percent of the Hawaiians, and 72 percent of the Caucasians). The high reporting rate of all women, regardless of ethnicity, may reflect the fact that a high proportion of the women using the center are referred there after reporting the assault to the police. The high reporting rate may also indicate that women are encouraged to report the assault when comprehensive services (legal evidence collection, information, and advocacy with the law enforcement system) are available to assist them. The high proportion of Asian women who are reporting and the underrepresentation of this group relative to the population suggest that Asian women tend only to come to the rape center when they have contacted the police to report the crime and are then referred to the center; thus non-reporting Asian women do not utilize the rape crisis treatment services.

It has been suggested that women from ethnic groups with relatively low power and status in the society may be less apt to report assaults to the police and so are cut off from legal redress for rape and other forms of sexual assault. This reluctance may stem from a variety of sources such as fear of harassment from the police, hostility toward the establishment, skepticism that justice is available to all, etc. A low reporting rate of non-Caucasian women, especially Hawaiians, which might be expected from this line of reasoning, is not supported by the reporting rates of ethnic groups in Hawai'i.

Services Provided to Rape Victims

Table 6 shows the services which the rape center provided the victims at intake within hours of the assault. The most utilized services are medical (64 percent), again reflecting the dual concerns of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical Examination</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Hawaiian</th>
<th>All Victims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(91)</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim Counseling</td>
<td>(84)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information about the Legal System</td>
<td>(61)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>(76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Counseling</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>(142)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>(182)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The victims may receive more than one service.

rape victims for personal safety and medical implications. Victim counseling was provided to 60 percent of the women, (crisis intervention is provided to all women). Asian women receive the highest percentage of medical and counseling services when compared to Caucasian and Hawaiian women—75 percent of the Asian women receive medical examinations, 65 percent receive victim counseling and 25 percent receive family counseling. The higher frequency of family counseling among Asian and Hawaiian women is consistent with the higher levels of concern about family reactions found among these ethnic
groups. Requests regarding information about the legal system is somewhat lower among Asian women (30 percent compared to 45 percent of Hawaiian and 43 percent of the Caucasian women) at crisis.

Data collected on the services provided women returning to the center for follow-up treatment (shown in Table 7) indicates that the women are more apt to be dealing with socio-emotional needs than medical needs at follow-up (84 percent of the women returned for counseling, while 52 percent for medical examination and testing). The data on long-term services have not yet been analyzed but an increasing focus on the social-psychological rather than physical consequences stemming from the assault is expected to occur over time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>All Victims</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Hawaiian</th>
<th>Victims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Victim and Family</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Examination</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information about the system</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal System and Advocacy</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referrals to Other Agencies</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Agencies</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Totals                         | 77%         | 13%       | 10%   | 100%     |         |

*The victims may receive more than one service.

The proportion of women from the different ethnic groups receiving counseling services at follow-up is similar. This finding is consistent with the data shown in Table 4 that the level of rape trauma, initially higher among non-Caucasian women, is actually similar for victims from different ethnic backgrounds at follow-up. Caucasian women are somewhat more likely than the women from other groups to return for medical examinations (57 percent), and to be referred to other social service agencies in the community (30 percent). Again, these findings may reflect a greater dependence and utilization of Caucasians of public helping agencies the Asian and Hawaiian women may have available or rely more on familial and interpersonal networks.

Conclusion

More generally, the data from this research indicates that victims from diverse ethnic backgrounds suffer serious and long-lasting consequences from being sexually assaulted and that a rape crisis center is an important mechanism for easing the trauma experienced by these victims. Moreover, the results show that women from different ethnic groups vary in their problems and emotional trauma stemming from the rape and in their treatment needs. Thus, it is critically important that rape crisis treatment centers (and other organizations as well) in multi-ethnic communities be sensitive to the differences among the populations served and offer a comprehensive array of services suited to the ethnic mix in their community.
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ATTITUDES TOWARDS LEPROSY**

Milton Blochmeun
and
Ted Gugelyk

The enforced isolation of leprosy patients into institutional settings has been a common method worldwide for containing the disease of leprosy. Leprosy colonies were total institutions (Goffman, 1961) and mandatory isolation, bedlam and quarantine of communicable patients by the thousands was the only known way of protecting the healthy community from a feared infection; only recently has the disease been brought under medical control.

Before the discovery of the effectiveness of sulfone drugs (in the 1940s), governments were faced with a disease only partly understood. Although leprosy has been known for many centuries, the mycobacterium responsible for it was not discovered until 1874, by Dr. G. Armauer Hansen; this discovery confirmed the idea of bacteriological contagion, and led to official isolation of persons afflicted with the disease. Enforced isolation as a formal and legal response to the disease in the 1960s evolved into the public health model for containing and isolating infected people. Molokai became known as 'the lonely island,' and until recently few cared to visit the Kalaupapa leprosarium.

*Preliminary report based on the first forty patient interviews at the Kalaupapa leprosarium—part of the larger project, "Social Aspects of Leprosy in Hawaii," Milton Blochmeun, Principal Investigator; Ted Gugelyk, Co-Principal Investigator.

**Acknowledgments: the authors are indebted to the Kalaupapa Patients’ Council and the Hawaii State Department of Health for their cooperation, and to the University of Hawaii Office of Research Administration and the Hawaii State Department of Health for financial support of portions of this project.

Hansen’s Disease (leprosy) is primarily endemic to non-Western peoples; it was envisioned as a foreign, "non-white" disease—so strange and exotic as the newly contacted cultures administered by Western powers. Thus, compulsory segregation legislation based on the Kalaupapa model was passed in Norway in 1885, in New South Wales in 1896, in Cape Town Africa in 1991, in Japan in 1920, and in Ceylon in 1991. The widely-known leprosy colony of Cullon was established in the Philippines in 1991, and Carville, established by the U.S. Public Health Service in Louisiana in 1994, is widely recognized as an international model for leprosy research and treatment of patients.

Although the ravages of leprosy were feared both by the Western administrators responsible for the containment of the disease and the peoples so infected with the mycobacterium, there is evidence of cross-cultural difference regarding the stigma attributed to the disease. Native Hawaiians showed no disgust toward the disease, even in its worst form. Similarly, Nipponese placed no onus on the disease, while other African peoples did. Chinese, Japanese, South-east Asians and many other ethnic groups also displayed revulsion toward the disease (Dossow and Tracy, 1970).

Thirty years after the discovery of sulfone therapy, leprosy communities like Kalaupapa are still functioning throughout the world. As with Kalaupapa, many leprosaria are now inhabited by patients who no longer are confined against their will, but in fact, elect to remain isolated. Furthermore, patients who have newly contracted the disease may be hesitant to seek modern medical treatment. A United Nations World Health Organization report states there are between 10 and 15 million known leprosy cases in the world, and prejudice related to the disease still persists to prejudice that is not found with any other disease. "Perhaps the more severe disease causes such a reaction in the community and so much distress and unhappiness to patients and their families. This anxiety may follow leprosy patients and relatives throughout their lives and cast a shadow over families and professional and social activities."

(NHO, 1970)

Our current research at Kalaupapa includes consideration of patients’ self-image, self-esteem, and degree of depressive affect. Standardized social-
psychological instruments are administered during in-depth interviews of patients. We are eliciting information about stigma as perceived by patients, patient understanding and attitudes towards their disease, and obtaining biographies (oral histories) in respect to patient experiences surrounding their disease. A cross-cultural general community survey of attitudes towards leprosy and selected other diseases will provide the basis for investigating the relationship between general community attitudes toward leprosy and those of patients. We hope thereby to shed some light on one of the major problems in local and international leprosy treatment, i.e., the degree of public awareness and acceptance of leprosy patients, before and after their cure.

Kalaupapa itself, with a patient population of 128, shows the greatest concentration of leprosy patients in the state; however there are a few patients located at Hala Wahine, a facility on the island of Oahu, for treatment, care and visits, and some patients in whom the disease has been arrested are living among nonpatients in the general community. The entire community of leprosy patients as well as the staff who provide medical, physical, and social care, and administrative services are of interest to our ongoing research studies of Social Aspects of Leprosy in Hawaii.

Apart from the complete report which is perhaps two years ahead of us, we have discovered a number of interesting phenomena which we feel merit discussion at this time. Based on preliminary partial analysis of the first forty in-depth interviews conducted between May and October, 1977, with leprosy patients at the Kalaupapa settlement, we here embark on a discussion of attitudes towards leprosy and other diseases. This initial group consists of those who stepped forward to be interviewed first. Over one-half were of Hawaiian or of part-Hawaiian, slightly less than one-third were of Oriental, and the remainder were of Puerto Rican, Portuguese or Samoan ancestry. About two-thirds were male, and ages ranged from 38 to 92. According to Department of Health classification, almost three-fourths were partly disabled, disabled, or blind.

One of our most interesting findings was that the Kalaupapa leprosy patients themselves regarded their own disease as least severe among the six chronic diseases they were asked to rank in terms of how "bad" they viewed each disease. Under the assumption that the rank of the sums is equal to the sum of the ranks, the six diseases are here listed in decreasing severity: Cancer Mental Illness Venereal Disease Alcoholism Tuberculosis Leprosy.

We found this puzzling in light of the fact that the patients interviewed are all bacteriologically "negative," and eliglible to return from Kalaupapa to live in the general community; they are in voluntary confinement. Why would patients who on the whole viewed their own disease as relatively light elect to remain at the settlement? In an earlier publication (Bloembaas and Guegley, 1970) based on analysis of patient records, we suggested that voluntary confinement would be accounted for by (1) habituation to a way of life, and (2) stigma imputed to the members of the general community. From a social psychological perspective, stigma proves to be the more interesting notion, because its existence can, in principle, be measured objectively in the general community as well as in terms of the perceptions of the patients. Any discrepancies would, of course, be subject to interpretation by way of throwing additional light on the question of voluntary confinement as well as on the attitudes of patients towards other social objects.

Although the findings reported here appear not to contradict our earlier findings, they do provide a context for additional explanation. In this light, then, we sought to gather additional data relating to disease severity, as seen by selected members of the general community. We have report on two special populations of educated persons. The first is a student population of 113 of those enrolled in Sociology 208 in the fall semester, 1977, at the University of Hawaii, Manoa. This course, although designed primarily for pre-majors in Sociology, also draws a large number from those within the general student body who are not yet decided on their major field. Course enrollees are with respect to Hawaii's population disproportionately of Japanese ancestry, mostly female, and of course within the age range typical of contemporary college students. Although we cannot claim this population to be
representative of either Hawaii or students in general, we feel it to be sufficiently well understood so as to provide for meaningful comparison with the patient population. The students as a whole ranked the six diseases as follows in order of decreasing severity:

- Cancer
- Mental Illness
- Leprosy
- Tuberculosis
- Alcoholism
- Venereal Disease

The most notable discrepancy in the two sets of rankings (by patients and students, respectively) is to be found in the relative positions of leprosy. The students ranked this disease third most severe, while the leprosy patients ranked it as least severe. If stigma is a critical component of the overall phenomenon of leprosy, and we believe that it is, then the student ranking suggests that there is more stigma regarding leprosy in the general community than among leprosy patients. This interpretation is consistent with our earlier finding in the sense that patients correctly implicate the general community that more stigma exists there than the amount felt by the patients in relation to leprosy. Further studies will deal with the notion of stigma itself—which is considerably more complex than its usage here suggests.

Since students are not perhaps best regarded as representatives of the general community, we obtained and analyzed responses from a second comparison population so as to broaden our baseline. Elementary school teachers, we felt it to be predominantly Japanese ancestry, mostly female, and within the age range 25 to 61 years; they ranked the six diseases as follows:

- Cancer
- Mental Illness
- Tuberculosis
- Leprosy
- Venereal Disease
- Alcoholism
- Tuberculosis

When comparing this ranking with that of the patients we again find that leprosy is ranked by the teachers as third most severe, completely consistent in this respect with the student ranking.

Adults residing within one block of Lepshi Hospital on the island of Oahu (N=49) provide yet another comparison group evaluating relative severity of the six diseases. The area is ethnically mixed, and middle class; it has mostly single family dwelling units and does not differ markedly from the general population in terms of age and sex. The rankings of these respondents follow:

- Cancer
- Mental Illness
- Leprosy
- Tuberculosis
- Alcoholism
- Venereal Disease

Leprosy is regarded by these respondents as third most severe, as is the case for the student and teacher respondents.

There was uniformity among the patients, students, teachers, and Lepshi Hospital vicinity residents in ranking cancer as most severe and mental illness as the second most severe disease. All but the patients on the average ranked leprosy as third most severe. There is further agreement in all the rankings that alcoholism is always ranked between tuberculosis and venereal disease, although these latter two show inversions according to respondent group. We find on the whole that the sets of rankings across the several groups are remarkably stable.

We turn now to a consideration of certain additional patient characteristics that may help us to understand why the patients on the whole view their own disease as least severe among the six under investigation. Although inferences made on the basis of cross-tabulations where n is small do not produce high degrees of confidence in the conclusions, we looked over our interviews in the hopes of finding some items which would produce at least moderate levels of association with severity of leprosy as a disease, while lending themselves as well to reasonable interpretation.

One item asked whether the patient regarded himself or herself as disabled, and we hypothesized that those who self-evaluated as disabled would tend to view leprosy as somewhat more severe than those who did not. In fact the level of association was in the hypothesized direction with a coefficient value of $\phi = 0.46$. Another item asked whether the patient felt there was prejudice in the outside community
against leprosy patients; we hypothesized that those
who saw prejudice outside would also tend to view
leprosy as more severe than those who did not. Again
the association proved to be in the hypothesized
direction, but much smaller, with \( p = .10 \).

Whether patients regarded themselves as disabled
clearly had a greater effect than did the question of
prejudice imparted to the outside community. Still
there was reason to suspect that the three items under
analysis were possibly intercorrelated in more complex
fashion, so we elaborated the observed relationship
between disability and severity of leprosy, employing
prejudice as a \( c - a \) variable, following the method-
ological contribution in this regard of the late Paul
Lazarsfeld (1955).

Although elaboration procedures are most fre-
quently employed in large surveys, the logic of the
approach promised to be useful in the context within
which we were working. When we computed the associa-
tion between disability and prejudice, and found
that \( p = .10 \), we knew that our original relation-
ship between disability and severity of leprosy could
not be spurious; we wanted to see, however, just what
each partial table revealed, just in case it should
prove that our original coefficients of .46 would be
greater for those who saw prejudice on the outside,
or for those who did not see prejudice on the out-
side. It was also possible that the two partial
tables’ association levels would be equal, in which
evend we would be led to disregard prejudice as an
important variable in understanding the dynamics of
why patients viewed their own disease as they did.

As it turned out, the level of association between
disability and severity of leprosy increased to \( p = .39 \)
when computed only for those patients who said
they saw prejudice in the outside community; it de-
creased to \( p = .24 \) for those patients who did not
see prejudice in the outside community. We felt that
this additional specification of our first hypothesis
strongly recommended in favor of retaining prejudice
as a variable in our explanatory scheme; we had,
through analysis, uncovered its role.

Our basic finding, succinctly put, amounts to
this: leprosy patients who regard themselves as
disabled are those who most often view leprosy as
most severe (in the context of comparisons with five
other diseases), providing that they impart prejudice
against leprosy to the outside community.

We have in an earlier part of this paper already
established that three special populations (college
students, elementary school teachers, and residents
within one block of Leahi Hospital) view leprosy as
considerably more severe than do the patients as a
whole. Therefore we are led to an increased recogni-
tion of the import of social psychological processes
in the formation of patients attitudes toward their
own disease. Those who over recent years have re-
garded the "problem" of leprosy as one of public
awareness and public education will take comfort in
these findings. The fact that medical researchers
have found no "cure" for leprosy appears insufficient
or perhaps incomplete in that social stigma associ-
ated with the disease is not thereby eliminated.
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RURAL-URBAN CONTRASTS OF KUNIA'I'S IN HAWAII

Larry F. Stephenson
Amy A. Miyaishi

The Japanese term *kunia'i*, literally translated as "group-join," is comparable to the sociological phrase "voluntary association" in Japan today. It is used to specify a variety of voluntary associations. In Hawaii, however, *kunia'i* has a more restricted usage, referring to a very specific and limited type of voluntary association found among residents of Japanese ancestry. The purpose of this article is to describe, analyze, and compare four contemporary *kunia'i*s--two rural and two urban--in terms of their social, functional, spatial, and organizational components.

*Kunia'i* Development in Hawaii

The abolition of Japan's isolationist stance in the latter part of the nineteenth century coincided with the demand for large numbers of unskilled agricultural laborers to work in Hawaii. Beginning in 1886, large numbers of Japanese were recruited and brought to Hawaii as plantation laborers. While only 116 Japanese were enumerated in the 1884 Hawaii Census, by 1900 there were 61,111 in the islands (Lind, 1967:30). The vast majority of these people came from various rural prefectures in southern Japan, each of which had its own distinct cultural traits which often differed slightly from those of the mainstream culture.

The Japanese who settled in Hawaii, therefore, were in many cases relative strangers to each other (Lind, 1939:202). Although from a common general cultural background, these immigrants were forced to form new social units in a new and alien environment (Embro, 1939:400-407), one which was different in three fundamental respects from what the average Japanese farmer-immigrant had left. First, there were several different racial, ethnic, and cultural groups in Hawaii. Secondly, the Japanese in Hawaii were mostly strangers to one another as contrasted to the situation in Japan where most residents lived in the same community all their lives surrounded by their extended families. Thirdly, many of the

immigrants were either single men or married men unaccompanied by their families. Initially intending to accumulate some wealth and then return to Japan at the expiration of their contracts, most, however, remained in Hawaii. Without the support of the extended family unit, they found it necessary to try to establish community organizations to provide what they felt were essential family-type functions (Embro, 1939, 1944; Lind, 1939).

One such response was the *kunia'i*, based on the neighborhood pattern of a network of social relationships as practiced in the old country. In Hawaii, the *kunia'i*s which were initially formed in plantation camps and other rural settlements and modeled after the *bunsho*, incorporated primarily the functions of rotating responsibility and cooperation in emergencies and funerals. Civic cooperation such as road or bridge building and repair was not necessary because of the government's role in this traditional Japanese function.

Contemporary *Kunia'i* Functions

Contemporary *kunia'i*s in Hawaii can be defined as neighborhood or community associations comprised of households voluntarily participating to primarily provide organizational, emotional, and monetary support to member households in the case of a death of a family member or a household disaster, such as destruction or damage to a house. *Kunia'i*s have a specific set of responsibilities in the event of a death in a member household. These include the complete handling of funeral arrangements for the deceased family; donation of a floral wreath or fixed sum of money to the family; and ensuring that all *kunia'i* members attend the funeral services and present an additional individual monetary gift to the family. In times of disaster resulting in damage to a member family's home, there is a monetary gift from the *kunia'i*, individual gifts from member households, and individual donations of time and materials to help repair the damaged dwelling.

Although they can be defined in terms of the above-stated generalizations, there are differences between

---

1See Embro (1944) for a detailed comparison of *bunsho*s in Japan and *kunia'i*s in Hawaii.
and among individual kundai's, especially between urban and rural kundai's. For each of the four kundai's, a brief history of their development is presented and their current functions and status noted.

The Wainaku Camp Two Kundai

The Wainaku Camp Two Kundai is located within the boundaries of Wainaku Camp Two, a former plantation camp community nestled in the hills of Kailua on the island of Hawaii. A relatively isolated and rarely visited community (the camp is completely surrounded by sugar cane fields), Wainaku Camp Two is no longer officially considered a plantation camp because the residents have purchased their houses and lots from the plantations. The name, however, remains.

Prior to World War II, the original Issei (immigrant generation) in the camp established a Kundai-type organization entitled the Upper Wainaku Japanese Community Club. The Club apparently had no written set of rules and regulations, relying instead on a high degree of familiarity, mutual understanding, and cooperation. In addition to the usual Kundai functions, this Club also sponsored several traditional Japanese cultural celebrations, the three major ones of which were the Shinnen-kai, a summer picnic or outing to the Honan-pa; At the outbreak of World War II, however, when all Japanese ethnic organizations and language schools were forced by the United States government to disband, the Upper Wainaku Japanese Community Club was dissolved.

In the early 1950's, a resident of Wainaku Camp Two heard about the formation of other Kundai's in the Hilo area and led a drive to form one in the camp. After consultation with older members of the community regarding the basic procedures and policies involved, an informal vote was taken, resulting in the establishment of the Wainaku Japanese Community Association in 1953. This post-World War II organization, which now possesses a written constitution, is comprised mainly of Nisei (second-generation, American-born of immigrant parents) since many of the Issei had either passed away or moved out of the community. Initial membership in this association was approximately seventy families.

In 1970, the name of the organization was changed to Wainaku Camp Two Kundai. Over time, there has been a decrease of membership, which now stands at forty-seven families. There has also been a decrease in the sponsorship of traditional Japanese celebrations; the only remaining social function is the annual summer picnic. This Kundai has also been concerned with and involved in volunteer activities outside the community boundaries. For example, monetary gifts were made to aid victims of the town of Kapoho on the island of Hawaii which was overrun by lava in 1959; direct manpower assistance was provided after a tsunami (tidal wave) in 1960 devastated part of Hilo; and monetary contributions were made to help sponsor tournaments in Hilo.

The current Wainaku Camp Two Kundai constitution has several articles, dealing with such items as name of organization, objectives, officers and their duties, meetings and elections, projects, and social functions. No formal statement of the Kundai's boundaries has been made; rather it is just assumed that they correspond to the rather well-defined boundaries of the camp itself. There are also membership restrictions not formally stated but which are understood among the members of the Kundai. First, potential members must be sincerely interested in the objectives and functions of the Kundai. Second, the potential member family must be a resident of Wainaku Camp Two. Thirdly, and perhaps most importantly, the family or at least one spouse, must be of Japanese ancestry. Before a family may join, it is considered customary for the president of the Kundai to approach the potential member and extend an invitation. Membership becomes official upon payment of an initiation fee of one dollar and annual dues of six dollars. Slightly less than 30 percent of the families in the camp are members of the Kundai; and with the exception of three mixed-marriages, all of the present membership is of Japanese ancestry.

The Ely Pahoa Kundai

The Ely Pahoa Kundai is located in the town of Pahoa, about 20 miles east of Hilo. Its present geographical boundaries are marked east and west by the use of twoapron houses, respectively, a useful delimitation since this Kundai is linear in shape and spread out along both sides of the principal thoroughfare of Pahoa. However, boundaries north and south of this road are not specifically defined or even really considered by members of this Kundai, a lack of concern perhaps stemming from the fact that much of the surrounding area is still open land with very few homes.
Originally established in 1907 by Jewish immigrants who were among the original settlers of the town, the Kyū Paboa Kumiai is one of the oldest continuously functioning kumiai's known. Unfortunately, little is known about specific past activities and functions of this organization as there are few original members left. Also, written rules and regulations were apparently nonexistent in the early days of the organization.

The present Kyū Paboa Kumiai does possess a written set of bylaws, an overview of which reveals a provision for the officers of the organization who serve for a fixed term under a rotation rather than an election system; a statement of purposes and objectives; a statement of non-partisanship; and requirements for membership which specify that members must be of Japanese ancestry, be willing to cooperate in the kumiai's functions, and be a resident of the area understood to be circumscribed by the kumiai's boundaries. Finally, there is an interesting provision for those members who move out of the kumiai to an area where no other kumiai exists: they may elect to remain a member of the Kyū Paboa Kumiai. This provision holds, however, only if the member family does not move completely out of the general Paboa area.

At present, there are fifty-four families who are members of the Kyū Paboa Kumiai, comprising about 70 percent of the families that currently reside within the generally understood area. Three other member families reside outside the Kumiai boundaries in a newer subdivision located a few miles from Paboa. Consistent with stated bylaws, the current membership is drawn solely from those of Japanese ancestry. The only current function currently sponsored by the Kyū Paboa Kumiai is the Shitenno-Kai annual baseball game sponsored every other year unless, there has been a death or disaster in the Kumiai; the Shitenno-Kai is then cancelled for that year.

The Likēke-Makalani Kumiai

The Likēke-Makalani Kumiai, formed in October of 1976, is a relatively new organization. Located along Likeke, Makalani, and Riga Streets in the city of Honolulu, this Kumiai's boundaries are coincident with those of the Lähinka Subdivision, a middle-class development begun in the early 1970's. Wedged between older existing neighborhoods on two sides and bounded on the other two by a municipal golf course and pasture, respectively, the Lähinka Subdivision is a clearly visible and identifiable neighborhood. In 1972 a Lähinka Community Association was formed, initially to pressure the subdivision developer to repair and improve some of the homes in the development. Later the goals of this group were widened to encourage recreational, social, and welfare activities in the neighborhood. However, after several deaths had occurred within the membership of the Association and no direct assistance was provided to the bereaved families by the Association, a sense of dissatisfaction led some members to desire a Kumiai. The older neighborhoods adjacent to the Lähinka Subdivision had already established a Kumiai of their own some years previously and this organization was not open to further membership. Hence, the Likēke-Makalani Kumiai was initiated as an alternative to the Community Association and with primary stated purpose of offering assistance in the case of bereavements and disasters.

In May 1976 the Likēke-Makalani Kumiai consisted of twenty-eight of the sixty-one families living in the Lähinka Subdivision. Membership is open to any household interested in the objectives of the Kumiai; one becomes a member upon payment of a four dollar initiation fee and annual dues of three dollars. The only membership restriction is geographical: members of the Kumiai must reside in the Lähinka Subdivision, and, membership is terminated upon moving out of the neighborhood. The Kumiai is governed by a written set of bylaws.

The Likēke-Makalani Kumiai is thus a new organization, modeled along old institutional lines, located in a newly created neighborhood and organized because there was a felt need in the community for this type of mutual voluntary assistance. Ethnically, this Kumiai is quite cosmopolitan as perhaps best exemplified by its (almost humorous at times) attempt to organize proper arrangements following a death in a Jewish family in the neighborhood. This cosmopolitan characteristic can partially explain the relatively low proportion of potential to actual members, for in other older neighborhoods inhabited primarily by people of Japanese ancestry there is generally a higher membership level.

2This provision was also observed in the 1930's in Kona by Ehrlich (1941,1951), who noted that "The same applies to rural membership in rural Japan."
The power exercised by the black residents of the Lower Kessock Union was not limited to direct involvement in the governance of the union. They were also involved in the protection and support of the community. This was evident in the way they organized and mobilized among the residents to address issues of importance to them. The union's commitment to the community was reflected in its efforts to promote the well-being of its members. This included providing assistance in times of need, such as sickness, accidents, and other emergencies. The union's role as a provider of social services was recognized and appreciated by the community. It was an institution that was deeply embedded in the life of the community, serving as a source of support and guidance for its members. The union's influence was felt in the way it addressed the needs of the community, and its impact was evident in the lives of its members. The union's presence was a testament to the community's spirit of unity and cooperation, and its role in the community was a reflection of the values that guided its members. It was an institution that was a source of pride and identity for the community, and it played a crucial role in the development and growth of the community.
institution which "has a specific physical location ... and which ordinarily functions in and for all or part of the population of a well-demarcated area or domain" (Nagao, 1975:12). Reliance on a written document reflecting rules and procedures was another characteristic common to the four kulei's studied. The ethnic background of kulei membership is predominately Japanese-American, reflecting the cultural origins of the kulei as an institution. Other reasons for this pattern are that the areas where kulei are found tend to have a large Japanese-American population; that a kulei which is open to all ethnic groups may not attract members who are not Japanese-American and thus not familiar with and interested in the objectives and functions of a kulei; and, that unenforced rules of a kulei may purposefully restrict membership to only those of Japanese ancestry.

In Japan men have traditionally been responsible for organizing and running kulei-type groups, an organizational aspect carried over to the kulei's of Hawaii. The officers in the kulei's studied are all and have always been men. Another kulei tradition is that membership is open only to those families who own or are buying their own homes; renters are denied membership. Three of the four kulei's studied periodically sponsor a social function for their membership, with the newest kulei being the exception. Both of the rural kulei's at one time also sponsored traditional Japanese cultural celebrations, interest in which has declined over time as membership has shifted from "local to "metropolitan" and subsequent generation families. Also related to this generational shift in membership is declining membership numbers in the rural kulei's, a trend noted as early as the 1930's by both Eames (1941:103) and Lind (1939:211).

The fact that kulei's not only survived in older rural settlements but are still being formed in newly developed urban neighborhoods seems to defy earlier predictions about their long-term viability as a territorially based institution as exemplified in the statements made by Lind (1939:211) that "second-generation participation in such organizations as the kulei ... has become more and more peripheral ..." and Eames (1941:103) that "territorial groupings" are being replaced by occupational and class groupings. Perhaps one reason for the continued presence of kulei's is that while social class may vary between kulei's, each individual organization appears to be rather homogeneous in terms of class structure, homogeneity resulting from the relatively small neighborhood or community area of responsibility. Kulei's are thus found in wealthier upper- and upper-middle-class neighborhoods as well as rural camps. There is no known accurate count of kulei's in Hilo or on the island of Hawaii; however, a conservative estimate of 150 to 200 may be put forward.

In summary, it can be noted that the rural kulei's (3) have suffered general membership decline over time; (2) have membership restricted along ethnic (i.e., Japanese) lines; (3) have informal, generally understood spatial boundaries; (4) have tried to maintain sponsorship of traditional Japanese cultural celebrations. In contrast, the urban kulei's: (1) have either stable or increasing membership; (2) have opened their organization to all ethnic groups; (3) have relied on formal, stated boundaries; (4) have been more interested in social get-togethers than cultural celebrations. As an institution transferred from the cultural and geographic setting of Japan to the Hawaiian Islands by Japanese immigrants, the kulei thus seems to retain its more traditional form in the rural areas where change is resisted, while like many ethnic institutions introduced to urban areas, kulei's tend to be lost tradition bound and more cosmopolitan when found in the cities.
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CITIZEN'S BAND RADIO ON OAHU
Gary Fuller

The growth of communication on the citizen's band radio frequencies is an event of considerable social and political significance. With the production of inexpensive C.B. transceivers and the advent of instantaneous, free licensing, tens of millions of Americans have had the opportunity to construct new interaction networks and to exchange information with individuals who would otherwise be separated from them by barriers of culture, class, and distance. It is worth noting that the development of radio broadcasting in the twentieth century permitted national leaders to communicate directly with their followers; a development that greatly increased the political power of heads of state and which may be the principal means by which the modern "dictator" holds power. The same power, albeit in diluted form, has been provided the citizenry of the United States. The full potential of C.B. radio as a social institution has yet to be realized, yet its power to influence collective action has been demonstrated repeatedly.

C.B. radio, like some other American innovations, was a late arrival on Oahu. As recently as early 1976, only a few channels of the 23 then available were heavily used. The great popularity of C.B. radio on the mainland tended to be generated by long-distance truckers and sustained by the boredom of interstate highway travel; obviously, these circumstances had little relevance for Oahu. When C.B. did become widely accepted on Oahu, it was similar to its mainland counterpart, but it has been modified by local circumstances. In particular, the jargon employed is liberally spiced with local terminology. The user requesting a clear channel on Oahu, for example, will usually say "Breeck Puka Seven," "Puka," in this case, replacing the word "zero." Similarly, the social ties formed around Oahu's channels are considerably tighter than those found on the mainland. Indeed, the C.B. frequencies on Oahu seem to be a microcosm of island society in general, replete with a complex system of ethnic group pride and bias, and reflecting a continual conflict between local values and mainland influences.

Social scientists have failed to examine the C.B. phenomenon in any great detail, probably because many consider it a "fad," or because C.B. is perceived to belong exclusively to the lower and lower-middle class. Certainly, for many, C.B. is a fad, and interest soon fades; for others, however, C.B. is a way of life which will not be easily discarded. Moreover, on Oahu one can find C.B. operators from virtually every stratum of society, and from every age and ethnic group. In brief, then, C.B. radio affords the social scientist an opportunity to monitor aspects of social behavior in an incredibly efficient and comfortable way.

This article is derived from research conducted in July and August, 1976 and again in August and September, 1977. The purpose of the research was to identify and describe the ways in which groups of individuals compete for space on the C.B. channels, with "space" in this instance pertaining to radio frequency space rather than geographic area. The intent of this article, however, is not to report fully the results of the research, but, instead, to describe the potential of C.B. radio for social science research in general on Oahu.

Who Uses C.B. Radios on Oahu?

Every radio transmitter in the United States must be licensed by the Federal Communications Commission. In theory, then, it should be possible to determine with some accuracy the number of C.B. users and their location. In fact, however, each C.B. licensee may own and operate a large number of transmitters, and his immediate family and employers may operate the transmitter under his license. Receivers need not be licensed, and although most are coupled with transmitters ("transceivers"), a growing number of multi-band, multi-channel C.B. operators are being reported. The FCC estimated 18,000 licenses were held by residents of Oahu in mid-1976. It is not unreasonable, therefore, to assume that perhaps 50,000 residents had access to C.B. communications in 1977. During the course of this investigation, transmissions were heard from every geographic area of Oahu, from operators ranging in age from four to seventy-eight years of age, and running an occupational gamut from the professions through the chronically unemployed. A random sample of C.B.
communications on Oahu would be unquestionably biased towards those with time to be near the radio (the unemployed, the retired, housewives) and towards those with job-related access to a C.B. transceiver (truck and taxi drivers, saltmen, some construction workers). This bias, as well as others inherent in C.B. radio, can be minimized by appropriate sampling procedures. In brief, as implied by the large number of people with access to C.B. radio the band is capable of providing a sample which is reasonably representative of Oahu's total population. Even if this were not the case, however, the population of C.B. users constitutes a significant social phenomenon in its own right, one deserving of the attention of social scientists.

Sampling and Analytic Methodology

A large number of potential research questions can be answered by the proper monitoring of C.B. radio. For example, the daily mobility of a sample of the population can be studied more easily (and probably more accurately) through the use of the C.B. channels than by any other means. Similarly, the attitudes of individuals towards new events can be quickly gauged. Any particular research question necessarily would have its own sampling and analytic procedures. There are, however, two sampling concerns which would be common to virtually all research questions.

First, it is necessary to select a sampling procedure appropriate to the goals of the research. Thousands of transmissions are made daily on Oahu, over 48 A.M. channels and, potentially, over an additional 80 sideband channels. Fortunately, the channels themselves provide sample stratification. Some channels are used almost exclusively by military personnel while others are dominated by local residents of various Oahu communities. Each channel has its own clearly distinguishable character, and this character changes cyclically during daily and weekly periods in easily predictable patterns. Longer term, less predictable, patterns are also discernible: when a particular channel becomes overcrowded, it is not unusual for a group of users to break away from it and establish themselves on a lesser used frequency. To some extent, the researcher can influence the timing of transmissions over a given channel. Often C.B. radio operators will monitor a channel for long periods without transmitting, most often because they have exhausted routine "recognition" exchanges with channel regulars and have little reason to transmit further. A transmission by the researcher can often break this period of silence so that an empty channel becomes extremely busy.

Second, several factors serve to bias any sample drawn by geographic area on Oahu. The quality of the researcher's receiving equipment, topography, propagation conditions, and interference limit the areas that may be sampled. C.B. transmissions from the mainland U.S. and Asia to Oahu are routine occurrences; contacts with Australia, South America and the South Pacific Islands are not unusual. (Most of these are illegal since only emergency communications may take place beyond 150 miles.) Nevertheless, contacts among all points on Oahu are seldom possible. This limitation can be overcome by the use of mobile C.B. equipment (i.e., a transceiver installed in a vehicle), but the extra cost and time involved should be compared with the value of complete area coverage.

Initial observations indicated that each C.B. channel had a dimension of territoriality and its own ideology. C.B. license applicants are not required to know either radio theory or the F.C.C. rules (Part 95) governing the citizen's band. The inevitable result is that each channel community develops its own peculiar interpretation of the rules, its own folklore related to radio technology, and its own means of dealing with those who challenge channel norms. Indeed, the situation can be compared with the history of Christianity, complete with claims of orthodoxy, counter claims of heresy, arguments over private interpretation of F.C.C. "Scriptures," and the development of sanctions against backsliders. Indeed, one of the most amusing aspects of C.B. radio is the tension between "science" (i.e., the operator with an electronics background) and "religion" (i.e., the typical operator, to whom electronics is a dark mystery). Science is always outraged, and usually is not known other than by a limited group of individuals, even when "religion" is unorthodox operation or in amateur radio.

Those who become frequent operators on the A.M. channels often develop fierce loyalty to the commuinity that it seems no one else can transmit on it. As with other hobbies, C.B. radio can become a consuming passion that threatens ties with family, friends and the broader community. Operators who spend 12 to 16 hours per day on their radios and transmitters are not unusual. Channel denizens tend to enforce this allegiance on others; a user who does not respond to a call
can expect criticism when he next transmits. This criticism ranges from gentle rebukes through complete shaming, a sanction which is perceived to be as effective on the citizen’s band as it is in conservative Christian communities.

When the research was begun in 1976, there was good reason to suspect that severe competition for radio frequency space was about to intensify. C.B. radio in 1976 on Oahu was dominated by military personnel, most of whom brought their interest and their radios with them from the mainland U.S. Civilian hams (Caucasian) were also present in considerable numbers. Although local, non-hackle operators were present, they tended to be confined to a few channels. During the middle of 1976, FCC rule changes concerning C.B. radio began to have their impact on Oahu. The transmitter license fee was reduced from $25.00 to $4.00, the band could be devoted to “hobby” communication rather than the “business-related” purposes for which C.B. radio had been established, restrictions on the use of certain channels were virtually eliminated, and “instant” licensing replaced a three month delay between application and licensing. Paralleling changes in the FCC rules was the rapidly declining cost of C.B. transceivers and their marketing by national mail order firms. In early 1976, it was likely that the majority of C.B. transceivers on Oahu had been purchased on the mainland and brought here by their owners. By the middle of the year, a number of retail outlets were available, several of which were part-time businesses established by C.B. operators. The cost of C.B. transceivers followed a pattern similar to that of the pocket calculator. One particular transceiver sold by a national mail order firm retailled for $359 in early 1976, for $299 in June, 1976, for $259 in September, 1976, and for $199 in September, 1977. These basic changes in 1976, the extension of C.B. radio to 46 channels in 1977, and the unexpected elimination of the $4.00 licensing fee, also in 1977, effectively opened the band on Oahu to Kamehameha [native-born] residents.

Most of the transition between 1976 and 1977 was anticipated, and was the basis on which the research was initiated. A complex series of procedures was created and employed during the months of June and July, 1976. The sample was designed to record the content of 600 C.B. conversations, of which 200 were between local residents only, 200 were between military personnel only, and 200 were between military personnel and local residents. (The latter category was sufficiently rare so as to require more than 90 percent of the time devoted to data collection.) Military personnel involvement was stratified at the Kaneohe Marine Corps Air Station, at Wheeler Air Force Base, at Schofield Barracks, at Barber’s Point and at Bellows Field in Waimanalo.

A sample of 600 conversations was also taken during the months of August and September, 1977. It had originally been anticipated that precisely the same sampling procedure would be used for both years. The very changes which the research strategy had been designed to uncover, however, made it necessary to redesign the sampling procedure. In particular, information on social economic status and ethnicity which had been easy to retrieve in 1976 proved difficult indeed to obtain in 1977. The greater competition for radio frequency “space” in 1977 apparently has produced greater group cohesion so that most denizens of a channel know a great deal about their fellow inhabitants. Information such as occupation, place of employment, and ethnicity which was readily exchanged in 1976 was rare in 1977, and could be elicited only with difficulty. In general, it required two to three times the amount of channel monitoring to uncover this basic information, and a small portion could be recovered only second-hand (i.e., through transmissions which discussed the occupation or ethnicity of a user not party to the transmission).

Two sets of variables were recorded. The first related to the content of the conversation, the second concerned the socioeconomic status of those engaged in the conversation. Seldom could both these categories be satisfied in a single conversation. Much of the socioeconomic information had to be understood over long periods of time, and in approximately 15 percent of the cases, could not be recovered at all. The task was made easier by the assumption that enlisted military personnel of similar rank were approximately the same age and of the same socioeconomic status. Pretesting indicated that a large number of variables could be culled from C.B. conversations, and that the basic problem (as with most social science research) was deciding which best measured competition for space, and how those variables were scaled.

Several influences were detected in the data which, though partially related to the matter of space competition, seem to suggest future uses of C.B. for the study of Oahu’s society. Three of these
Influences, leadership, conflict and ideology, will be described here.

Leadership

In 1976, most citizen band channels on Oahu had an acknowledged leader. He or she was the individual who transmitted the most frequently, and whom most people on the channel called at least once each day. Generally, the leader possessed an expensive radio and antenna system and held an occupation which permitted continuous monitoring of the channel from early in the morning to late at night.

The leader assumed two responsibilities, and his ability to perform them seemed to be the basis on which his leadership rested. First, the leader provided for group cohesion by scheduling regular social events ("Breaks," in C.B. jargon) for the channel users. In some instances these events were extravaganzas involving hundreds of C.B. operators. Second, the leader directed the operation of the channel and insured that appropriate measures be taken against outsiders who violated channel procedures. A portion of this responsibility was carried out by the establishment of working relationships with leaders of other channels; these relationships were cemented by group gatherings of "friendly" channel users.

The parallel between C.B. and street gangs is striking. In both cases, social life centers around the group and the leadership and responsibilities of members were centered on "protecting the turf." C.B. users were also likely to wear emblems representing their affiliation with the channel and to express hostility towards the users of other channels considered as "unfriendly."

By 1977, the "gangster" aspect of C.B. on Oahu had become more pronounced, but with some major differences. Social groups formed by users of a particular channel became exceptionally closed to the point where social penetration of these groups by new operators, or operators from other channels, was exceptionally difficult. The role of the channel leader, however, had disappeared from all but one or two channels. Two specific outcomes have emerged. First, channels have become more open to use by outsiders, just as the social groups have become more closed. Second, in the absence of channel leadership, violations of FCC rules governing transmissions have become more common than legal operation. Profanity, for example, was totally absent from all conversations observed in 1976, but was found to occur in nearly 20 percent of the 1977 sample. The relationship between the decline of channel leadership and increase in illegal operation was not unexpected. Most C.B. operators who violate FCC rules probably do so because (1) they are ignorant of the rules, or (2) they are inexperienced with radio transmission and inadvertently break the rules; this is true in cases of profanity, since even experienced operators occasionally "forget" they are on the air (indeed, this happens even in commercial broadcasting). The channel leaders served to explain the rules to the ignorant and to remind the forgetful. To some extent they also brought group sanctions to bear against repeat offenders.

A number of alternative explanations can be advanced to account for the decline of the channel leader's role, all of which can be sustained by the data collected. The most plausible, however, is that the users of a particular channel have become so numerous that leadership by a single individual has become impossible. Alternatively, it is tempting to consider the transition of C.B. dominance by the military to the civilian population as a factor in the decline of channel leadership.

Conflict

Hostility is a particularly common feature of the citizen's band. It ranges from gentle rebukes over the air through threats of bodily harm to the carrying out of these threats. The incidence of hostility decreased from 1976 to 1977 samples, but its characteristics varied sharply. Over 50 percent of hostility expressed over the air in 1976 were between local residents only, or between military personnel only. By 1977, over 95 percent of hostile transmissions were between local residents and the military. Since the 1977 military sample was heavily biased towards the Marine Corps, there may be some slight reason to believe this bias accounts for a portion of the increased hostility between the military and local residents. Such a belief would depend on the notion that Marines are more prone to conflict with civilians than are members of the other armed services. Nothing observed in this research supports that belief. In fact, the 1976 sample of military-civilian conflict, though too small to permit meaningful conclusions, nevertheless indicated that the Air Force was involved in the greatest incidence of conflict, and the Marine Corps the least.
Several generalizations about conflicts over the air can be drawn from the observations. Most basically, conflicts between members of the military tended to be based on matters apart from the radio transmission itself; the transmitted communication was a means of expressing anger. Expressions of hostility involving local residents, on the other hand, invariably involved the transmissions themselves, and appeared to have no antecedents. Conflicts among the military were usually resolved over the air. The typical resolution consisted of one party explaining his position, the identification of points of agreement, and an apology by one or both parties. The same general scenario occurred among local residents who spoke standard English. When other local residents were party to a conflict, however, there was a marked inability to articulate their position, and the level of hostility increased. Third parties to these conflicts then attempted to get the feuding parties to meet face to face when these efforts were successful, a peaceful outcome was invariably obtained. It is hardly surprising that inarticulation can be responsible for generating and sustaining conflict, but the patterns observed suggest that non-verbal cues among pidgin speakers may be a necessary (although certainly not a sufficient) condition for conflict resolution.

Racial and ethnic group slurs and epithets were totally absent from the 1976 sample, but were observed repeatedly in 1977. In three instances, entire conversations between local non-bi-racial residents were devoted entirely to the shortcomings of bi-racial. Military personnel generally failed to distinguish among the various non-bi-racial racial and ethnic groups on Oahu, but lumped them together under a variety of terms, none of which could be considered complimentary.

Ideologies

Expressions of political or religious ideology, broadly construed, were observed only twice in the 1976 sample; both brought rebukes from others on the channel. In 1977, statements of a religious nature were commonplace, and several conversations were devoted entirely to religious matters. Expressions of political opinion were still relatively infrequent (only 23 were observed in 1977), but this must be weighed against the fact that 1976 was an election year in Hawaii, whereas 1977 was not. This greater tendency to express ideology is entirely accounted for by the growth of local C.B. operators; all such expressions in 1977 were made by local residents.

Recently, certain channels have initiated regular round table over-the-air discussions of matters of concern to C.B. operators. These discussions have touched increasingly upon matters of political and religious ideology. It seems likely that this tendency will increase with time.

Conclusion

C.B. radio does not, of course, provide all the needs of social science research. It is best viewed as an intelligence-gathering system which can be used to suggest productive lines of research, and to weigh the contemporary relevance of certain research questions. For what it provides, it is unsurpassed. Perhaps the best illustration of its value, though a frightening one, is its ability to convey military intelligence. Even a casual C.B. listener can determine that certain military units are understaffed and overworked, that parts for equipment repair are unavailable, that certain aircraft are not performing at expected levels, and that drug abuse has undermined the effectiveness of certain units. Certainly, this is information that in previous years would have required enormous expenditure and risk to attain. Surely there are implications here for academic research as well.
A major theme of the history of the University of Hawaii Sociology Department has been the view of Hawaii as a social laboratory, wherein the variables of social processes were relatively distinct and the setting manageably small and self-contained. Especially for the scientific study of race relations, Hawaii was a natural experiment. Beyond the substance of that claim in the work of Adams, Lind, Glick, Hormann and others, has been its effect on our teaching, as we encouraged students and graduates to document their perceptions and experiences, and those of their ethnic groups and communities, toward a series of goals: accumulating case materials toward an eventual "sociology of Hawaii," demonstrating the relativity and comparability of diverse perspectives of history, and inviting students and members of the general community to contribute as para-professionals to the social science enterprise. Many articles in earlier issues of Social Process in Hawaii testify to the utility of these goals and to progress in their attainment. The earlier generation of students and faculty can be rightfully proud of their work and contributions.

During the last fifteen years, however, population explosion in the state, the University, and the department has taken its toll, diminishing our attention to the theme of 'social laboratory,' even as its utility might have become more obvious. Rapid growth, as economic development, as urbanization, as integration with national and world cultures, has led us to focus on the present more than to seek out links with the past, and has led us to explain local events by reference to general patterns elsewhere. We became less a social laboratory and more a microcosm of the modern world. Universalistic standards of scholarship and teaching have led us, unintentionally, to de-emphasize our local audience in favor of communicating with fellow professionals around the world. We have become more likely to view student papers as amateur and lacking in theoretical sophistication when compared to the expanding body of social science literature worldwide, and—like nearly all institutions in
Hawaii—where we have tried to adapt to the world rather than reinterpret it for more local purposes. This is a common experience in the literature of modernization: local cultures lose their coherence in the face of growth and change. The publication hiatus of this journal is an understandable datum, a result of structural factors leading our attentions elsewhere, not the least of which is simply the need to deal with large numbers of students in a modern university.

By 1979, the population growth appears to be leveling off and the subjects in the social laboratory have begun to reassess what is happening to them in an attempt to impose desirable patterns onto the future. The Statewide Constitutional Convention, the University’s new Academic Development Plan III, the republication of this journal in the Department of Sociology, are all indicators—as well as promoters—of the awareness that Hawaii can be a special place that makes a unique contribution to the world and to the social science study of that world. An example is the energy crisis, and public debate centers around the fact of worldwide interdependence on petroleum, possibilities of our self-sufficiency, and the likelihood of Hawaii serving as a model for the use of a combination of alternative energy sources. Various groups in Hawaii have begun to discuss life-style effects of energy use not only on the mass society issues of housing, transportation, and communication, but also on traditional values and the changing meaning of aloha and ‘ohana solidarities.

Within the international social sciences a new sociology of knowledge has also been proposed in recent years that can provide us with a way to incorporate the latest debates of the public issues into the study of social process in Hawaii. In summary, the theories argue that everyday life explanations of social process, varying by subculture and locality, can be viewed as comparable to scientific explanations, which also vary by discipline and subject. The explanations may have different logics and purposes, but all people, whether social scientists, community leaders, students, or locals in various settings, are dealing with social realities that are multiple, intersubjective, and constructed by appeals to logic, evidence, and common sense—whatever those may be among different audiences. As social science training becomes more professionalized, the traditional scientific value of objectivity becomes harder to take for granted, perhaps to be replaced by canons of credibility in which one’s perspectives and value-preferences are explicit so as to be counted or discounted by one’s audience. Objectivity can continue to be an ideal, and the self-evidence of facts can be proclaimed, even as these are to be viewed as functions of unique perspectives, historical trends, and relativistic human conditions. Thus, for some purposes we educate our students to professional sophistication, while we also can encourage them to be more articulate about their personal and everyday life perceptions and experiences, and the evidence and value-perceptions on which they are based.

The new editorial board of Social Process in Hawaii, made up of sociologists, is aware of these conditions, while still sensitive to the often clear differences of tone and style between articles written by professional and novice social scientists—grounded in theory and based on systematic and carefully processed data—and articles written by undergraduate students and community members—grounded in an everyday life context and based on the personal experience of an insider. We wish to include both kinds of contributions, for a number of reasons.

First, and most obviously, we wish to maintain the traditional strengths of the earliest issues of this journal, that it was a department project uniting professors and students in a common sociological enterprise contributing to our community’s knowledge of itself. Second, we continue to be aware of the journal as a powerful instrument for professional training, as our students learn to contribute to accumulating scientific knowledge.

Third, we wish to advance the idea that the Hawaiian microcosm remains a social laboratory but in ways that are not as obvious as they used to be. More we want to emphasize that the world has become more like Hawaii as Hawaii has become more like the world. The modern world is smaller and more interdependent than before the age of mass technology, and environmentalists promote concepts such as “small is beautiful” and “spaceship earth.” As journalist Bob Krauss has written in his book, The Island Way, living on an island does affect people’s relations to one another, putting limits on individualistic competition while clarifying how each person’s actions impinge on the common ecosystem. In
many ways this is still a hypothesis; does the public consciousness of interdependence become more explicit as the limits of our environment become more obvious? This is a different, but related, issue from the self-consciousness characteristic of the old social laboratory, where ethnic and class marginality led our students to be aware of social processes; that kind of self-consciousness may continue to exist, but it is based on modernization and the need to adapt to rapid social change, and that is not so obvious a contrast. Thus, we wish to continue to include in the journal documentation of insider/local views, in which the data are not only the experiences and events of Hawaii, but also the interpretations and value-perspectives of an island consciousness.

The following two articles, by Eric Yamamoto and Deborah Chang, are of the genre we have been discussing. Both articles are from the concluding chapters of monographs and are proceeded, in the originals, by carefully collected and presented data that support the personal and insider reflections reprinted here. The complete works are available in the Norman Price files at the University of Hawaii Department of Sociology, and Yamamoto's is also available at the University libraries in the New College Senior Projects collection.

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF LOCAL

Eric Yamamoto*

This essay is from Chapter 7 of Eric Yamamoto's senior project, a 148-page senior thesis entitled "From "Japanese" to Local: Community Change and the Re-definition of Samoan Identity in Hawaii," which shared the first Norman Price Award in Sociology in 1975. The major data source of the study was a series of interviews with "18 to 24-year-old Samoan males of middle-class backgrounds," and Mr. Yamamoto notes in his introduction: "Indications are that most of Hawaii's Samoans can be classified as a part of this group I am delineating. I am much a Samoan. Understanding the Samoan of Hawaii is one way of helping me understand myself in the context of my peers and the interplay of existing and developing social, cultural, and economic forces in Hawaii. Also, the middle-class Samoans provide a significant group for study because they are right in the middle of the Problem in changing Hawaiian society. They are neither Hawaiians nor Yankees. They are neither recent migrants nor descendants of prehistory inhabitants of Hawaii. They are neither upper-class nor lower-class. The Samoans provide a group through which most of the important questions about Hawaiian society can be raised. What is Hawaii's present situation and what are its future prospects?"

The Editors

Local-Historical and Contemporary Perspectives

The emergence and passing of several terms identifying a composite person of Hawaii, unique to Hawaii, suggests the existence of an evolving island identity. The term "Kanaka" became popular before the Second World War. It connoted island males who led stereotyped Hawaiian life styles (fun loving, unconcerned, lazy). "Kanakia" was a term used to describe people of Hawaii with their roots in Hawaii. James Michener, in his book Hawaii, imagined a "Golden Man" of Hawaii arising from the melting and fusing of races.

*Special acknowledgment to Dr. Wayne Woodard, who provided intellectual stimulation and invaluable counsel as my tutor, adviser, and research associate.
Sociologist Andrew Lind (1974) surmised that the term "local" first emerged in Hawaii during the M determined trial of 1931, distinguishing the alleged island-born local rapists and what they represented, from the mainland-born military plaintiffs. The term came into prominence during World War II, differentiating Hawaii's fighting men from their mainland counterparts. It means that until the mid-1960s the term local was not used as anything more than a label for distinguishing island people from mainlanders.

Interviews with social scientists and second generation Japanese-Americans and a survey of social science literature references to local indicate that only beginning in the mid-1960s did the term local take on a new cogent meaning. Since 1965 there have been an increasing number of references in Hawaii's social science literature to local in terms of culture and identification. The more substantive of these references approach local from one of three general perspectives: (1) as a polycultural culture, (2) as a value-orientation, and (3) as a form of culture creation.

Each of these approaches embodies one dimension of localism. Collectively they provide valuable background to a beginning understanding of a developing phenomenon in Hawaii.

As a Polycultural Culture

The approach to local as a polycultural culture embodies the conception of local (and local culture) as the product of a blending of different cultures in Hawaii. Dennis Oyama (1973:155) maintains that all of Hawaii's ethnic groups exist in the milieu of such a local culture.

When one speaks about the Japanese, Chinese, Hawaiians, or Filipinos in Hawaii, one is not talking about separate ethnic units whose communities do not have interactions with each other. Rather one must speak of a shared island heritage of cultural background and life style.

Bernhard Kornmann's (1965:11) concept of "Pidgin Culture" (a local, provincial, or regional culture) is similar. He maintains that a "Pidgin Culture" grows out of a common dialect, Pidgin English, used between ethnic groups, which facilitates cultural sharing in terms of dress, foods, customs, etc.

A survey study conducted in 1973 by a sociology class at the University of Hawaii theorized that "localness" is directly related to polyculturalness, defining polycultural as "...ability to live and participate in the mixed culture comfortabily, understanding and sharing some cultural aspects of other ethnic groups." The study concluded that, "the more cosmopolitan a person is the more he tends to consider polyculturalness the meaning of local." (Rockaday, 1973:2)

Gerald Meredith in 1965 saw a developing polycultural local culture that was functional to the collective socialization of adolescents in Hawaii.

A stereotype of a "local" is built and supported by the "youth culture" through symbols such as clothing, fads, hair styles, and use of Pidgin English. The "swell guy" image of the male and the "glamour girl" image of the female are Hawaii's own distinctive and multi-cultural counterpart of Talcott Parsons' (1966) youth roles. (Meredith, 1965:47)

Vidich and Banfield (1958:87) theorize that the contributions to a polycultural local culture descend vertically, from preceding generations, and fuse horizontally, from other existing culture groups.

The literature's handling of the polycultural interpretation of local tends to limit a situation of all ethnic groups sharing and contributing and benefiting equally, without value judgments or conflicts, from an entity called "local culture." This perspective is similar to the once highly acclaimed melting pot theory. The polycultural reference to local culture do not delve into the political implications of cultural interaction: which culture dominates; which culture changes the most; how much is sharing, how much is imposition; what kinds of interethnic and intraracial attitudes are developed from these interactions.

As a Value-orientation

The approach to local as a value-orientation evolves from the conception of people's commitment to community and their acceptance of the related structure of interpersonal and business interactions.
Robert K. Merton (1949) analyzed the different value-orientations of "Local" and "Cosmopolitan" influencers in a community on the Eastern Seaboard. He found significant differences between the two in their structures of social relations, paths traveled to present positions, utilization of present status, and community behavior. Merton's "localite" is that of a person of provincial outlook and traditional community values.

A localite largely confines his interests to the community. He devotes little thought or energy to the Great Society. He is preoccupied with local problems to the vital exclusion of the national and international scene.

The localites have lived in town for a long time, are interested in meeting townspeople, don't want to move from town, are likely to be interested in local politics, etc.

The influence of local influencers rests not so much on what they know but on whom they know. (Merton, 1957:393-394, 400)

Herbert Gans notes that, "in developing his dichotomy, R. K. Merton suggests that for locals, social relationships are the principal aim and that ideas are used as means to them. For cosmopolitans on the other hand, ideas are essential and social relationships follow mainly with people who share the same ideas." (Gans, 1962:91) This difference parallels a basic difference between traditional Community and Association.

Terry Pickeral's definition of local, drawn from student research, also includes the value of commitment to community. This community, however, is not an actual town or neighborhood, or structure of interactions, but the abstract "Hawaii community." The commitment is not ascribed, but by choice.

Being local is having both a pride in things special to the islands of Hawaii and a desire to make Hawaii better by assisting in its improvements. (Pickeral, 1973:22)

As a Form of Culture Creation

The approach to local as a form of culture creation is by far the most comprehensive of the three approaches. It incorporates aspects of the other two approaches and a theory about the influence of a combination of social forces on cultural patterns, in a model of culture creation in Hawaii.

A theoretical model, designed by Helen Liggett (1974), explains the emergence of a local culture in Hawaii in terms of (1) factors of high oppression and low compatibility in superordinate-subordinate (WASP institutions-ethnic groups) interactions, giving rise to culture creation by the subordinate group, and (2) a subordinate group (a subculture) characterized by a blending of aspects of disparate ethnic cultures. The model draws on David Rothstein's theory of culture creation. Rothstein evaluates interactions between subordinate and superordinate groups in terms of "Oppression" (high or low oppression by the superordinate group) and "Coincidence (high or low compatibility between cultures of the two groups). Culture creation by the subordinate group occurs when there is a high oppression and low cultural compatibility. Liggett enlarges Rothstein's model by integrating subculture theory. Her model explains both the emergence of a local culture in Hawaii and its varying nature.

* * * *

Salient aspects of the approaches in the literature to local are on different conceptual levels. The label refers to distinguishing Hawaii people from mainlanders, to a blending and sharing of ethnic cultures, to a community value-orientation, and to an emerging multi-culture in reaction to an oppressive dominant culture. The dimensions of local handled by the literature appear to be identification, values, culture, and societal change. Integrating these dimensions produces a general picture of Localism in Hawaii. It is a composite of ethnic cultures, emerging in reaction to domination by Western institutions and culture, composed of people of Hawaii with community value-orientations. Although this picture provides a general understanding of Localism in Hawaii, it does not take into consideration the intricate mapping of "What is local to whom." This mapping is a task open for future study.
Significance of Local

A Function of Local Hawai'i: Who Controls It? Who Appreciates It?

Two basic understandings to begin with are that
(1) a (singular type) local and a (singular) local
culture do not exist in Hawai'i, and (2) something
tumed "local," however, has particular significance
to Hawai'i. Rather than try to define the many dif-
ferent and changing perceptions of "what is local,"
the discussion is centered on the meaning Hawaiian
society has attached to local. Or, transposed into
different terms, what has local come to symbolize in
Hawai'i?

In a Hawai'i marked by accelerated urban growth
that has generated a strong sense of loss of com-
munity among many long-term residents, local has
come to symbolize:

--people who belong to Hawai'i—however defined—and
their struggle to retain or regain control of
Hawai'i and its future;

--the appreciation by people who belong to Hawai'i of
the "goodness" of the land, people, and cultures
of Hawai'i.

A social symbol develops through the extension of
collective need onto an entity identified with that
need. These two symbols are not definitions of
local. Rather they are evidence of certain collec-
tive needs of the people of Hawai'i.

Local: A Symbol of Self-Determination

Changes in social structure, the sense of loss
of community, a decline in the quality of life, and
the accompanying concern, worry, and desperation,
have given rise to a movement by people self-defined
as belonging to Hawai'i (local people) towards re-
gaining control of Hawai'i and its economic, political
and cultural future. Feeding this sense of need for
local control is the vision that "We better do some-
thing before it's too late." It is a feeling,
correctly based on fact or not, that non-local con-
trol is leading Hawai'i to a place it must not go.

The black-and-white alternatives that are sensed
are either control of Hawai'i by people of Hawai'i or
control of Hawai'i by outsiders. Control by people
is symbolized by local.

Excerpts from a letter to the editor reprinted
in Ka Leo O Hawai'i (March, 1974) reflect vividly the
passion underlying this sense of the need for local
people to regain control. The letter is entitled
"Haoles vs. Locals: Busting aFew" and was written
by a Hilo College student after outbreaks of racially
connected violence on the Hilo campus. The letter
is an overstatement, a ranting of the spleen. Its
significance lies not in the specific points it
raises, but in its implication that people are
frustrated by their lack of control and feel the
need to direct their resulting hostility at some-
thing.

Well look at my side of things. Suppose I come
over to your house and said you weren't dressing
right and you weren't living right and this and
that. It's a lot deeper than that, I guess, but
that's the way we feel.

You mainlanders come over here and try to run the
show, and we are supposed to be your servants.
The trouble is, we are slaves to your system.
You've taught us to need your money and your
conveniences, but we'll never respect you.

I got plenty burned up when I think of what's
happening to my brothers and sisters and our
island. But we still have our pride.

It makes my blood boil when I see all the hotels,
stores, ships in our harbors, service men on our
streets and tourists jamming up everything.

This student's perspective equates "outsiders" with
mainland Haoles. To him, local is the in-group
symbol and Haole is the out-group symbol.

Historically the struggle for control of Hawai'i
was between inter-Hawai'i powers who were interested
in keeping Hawai'i relatively insular. Even the
annexation of Hawai'i to the United States in 1898
was predominantly a tactical move engineered pri-
marily by business interests in the islands. Only
since Statehood have large numbers of outsiders
migrated to Hawai'i, numerous outside businesses
expanded and flourished in the islands, and tourists
flooded into Hawai'i, taxing the natural resources
and the life styles of the people. The Non-local-
Local conflict, racial in appearance, is in reality only
a blatant manifestation of a deeper conflict. The
Mainland Natives have come to be perceived—not because of what he is, but because of what he is doing collectively—as a threat to the local people's self-determination.

Any group falling into that role of threatening outsider is likely to face both overt and subtle hostility. The Natives faced it. The Japanese investors faced it. People of the middle- and upper-classes are beginning to face it, as they are increasingly being cast into that role by disen-chanted "have not" groups in Hawaii. From the perspective of people who see themselves as belonging to Hawaii, local determines positive and negative reference groups.

The perception of what needs doing by whom is often countered by a perceived lack of means of effective action. Much of the frustration surrounding the collective need to "regain control" is rooted in the vision that this task may be impossible, a sense that perhaps there are no adequate means to combat the threat. A twenty-one-year-old male conveys this sentiment:

Having been born in Hawaii I have seen the slow death of an island and its people. Rapid social change is leading our island to a confrontation it must face up to. People say why can't locals stop this madness. The locals can't stop it because they don't know how. We've been indoctrinated into believing that the system has people's interest at heart. They tell locals that they never had it so good. The people see this process as a fact of life. What they don't see is the genocide of the self. It is the death of my father who doesn't understand it and doesn't know how to get out.

Expressed in this passage is a feeling of extreme urgency over the need for local control, a feeling that is exacerbated by the sense that the task that needs doing has too many forces working against it.

Consequences of this sense of urgency can be found in policy decisions, daily activities, and spontaneous occurrences in many facets of life in Hawaii.

The University of Hawaii has been experiencing a period of financial austerity. Many program faculty, and administrative changes have been made. Pro-local sentiment on the part of policy decision makers has influenced several of the changes.

An influential member of the predominately "local" Board of Regents has indicated his desire to fill key positions in the University with "local people who know the problems of Hawaii."

With the stringent financial situation at the University, policy priorities have been made clear. In admissions, financial aid, and housing, local students come first.

With soaring inflation and continuing immigration, pressure has been exerted on government officials to carefully regulate both the flow of Hawaii's resources and the direction of Hawaii's growth.

Welfare reform in 1973 was aimed at reducing the drain by transient non-residents on Hawaii's resources for Hawaii's needy.

The Land Use Commission's boundary review hearings of 1974 were filled with hundreds of hours of vociferous testimony by numerous local community organizations and individuals against the repaving of agricultural land for real estate development.

Overwhelming public sentiment towards limiting in-migration was reflected in the platform of many 1974 political candidates—results of the "Hawaiian Poll" published in the Honolulu Advertiser on November 3, 1974, showed that only 12 percent of Hawaii's people were opposed to limiting immigration.

Big business has been confronted by pro-local and anti-non-local sentiments. Some businesses have suffered from it, some have benefited.

Hostility directed at Japanese investors, land developers, and big business in general, has been the consequence of the ascertainable threat they present to Hawaii people's determination of the use of Hawaii's land, and the shaping of the future of Hawaii's communities.
Bank of Hawaii has shrewdly calculated its television advertisements: "Bank of Hawaii understands ..." the needs of the local people. "We wouldn't want to be the bank of anywhere else ..." because local people are better than outsiders.

Trouble has been brewing in the public schools. Battles for control and supremacy between groups of high school adolescents have been intensified by the increasing power of non-local "out-groups." Conflicts between Haoles and Locals, foreign immigrants and Locals, and lower-class youths and middle-class youths have been increasing.

Sports has long been an area of intense interest to people of Hawaii. Only in the recent past, however, has big-time sports come to Hawaii. The maturing of big-time sports has been accompanied by the movement towards replacing mainland coaches with local ones, and recruiting "local boys" instead of mainland players.

Interpersonal interaction is the area in which local-non-local sentiments surface most often.

Often the tenor of an initial interaction between a "local" and a stranger depends primarily on whether the stranger appears to be "local" or not. The stranger's personality is supplemental. A statement by a Wainanae pig farmer portrays the feelings giving rise to this. "I no sell my special pigs to Haoles, only local people. Haoles no appreciate like locals."

Among youths it is generally "in" to appear to be a "local who is in control." It is generally "out" to appear to be a "clumsy Oriental" or an "aggressive Haole."

* * * * * *

On the one hand, the collective public sense of the need for control of Hawaii by people of Hawaii, symbolized by local, is manifested in the assertion (summarized neatly by a second-generation Japanese American) that,

"Now, if ever, is the time for local people to shed their role of the past as apprentice to"

"truly qualified outsiders" and accept the challenge to prove themselves."

On the other hand, it is manifested in reactionary beliefs that all those who are local are good and all those who are non-local are bad. On the one side is awareness and collective self-assertion, and on the other side is reaction and collective self-exclusiveness.

Local: A Symbol of An Appreciation of the "Goodness" of the Land, People, and Cultures of Hawaii

"Goodness" is defined by the World Book Dictionary as "valuable quality; best part." The growing appreciation of the "goodness" of Hawaii has been spurred in part by the collective sense that the unique and valuable qualities of Hawaii are becoming increasingly camouflaged by urban growth. An interview respondent expressed this sentiment.

"There is so much to discover in Hawaii. But we (people like myself) have to find it all before it's too late."

An implicit assumption of many of Hawaii's people is that the appreciation of the "goodness" of Hawaii requires a certain combination of sensitivity to and instinct about the land, people, cultures of Hawaii. There is a collective sense that this combination is rarely achieved by tourists, Malihini Haoles, or Hawaii people locked into an associational life style. Rather, this combination of sensitivity and instinct is found in the people "of Hawaii." Local is a symbol of the appreciation of Hawaii by people with this combination.

In one respect, this symbol is given rise by the large-scale identification with being "Local" and circular reasoning rooted in a strong in-group/out-group sense. If one is local one has an appreciation of the goodness of Hawaii. If one has this appreciation one must be local. This exclusive definition which in effect means that one is inherently either local or not, is rooted in the sense of struggle for control between locals and non-locals.

"The Japanese investors are the same as the mainland Haoles. They view Hawaii as a source of either investment or pleasure, not as a place of people."
"It takes a special understanding of Hawaii's history, its communities, its people, its cultures, to bring to life a side of Hawaii that outsiders here to exploit Hawaii can never appreciate."

What specifically is an appreciation of the goodness of Hawaii? Responses by individuals tend to be highly subjective and non-classifiable. In the interviews there was a wide range of unsolicited expressions of the goodness (unique and valuable qualities) of Hawaii.

"I never thought I could have such a love affair with Hawaii and its people. No place else can compare with Hawaii."

"The balanced give and take of the beaches and oceans, the mountains and flat lands, the rain, sun, sky, and air, the wild life and vegetation, are so much a special part of the Hawaii I love."

"The ocean is my friend. If you respect it, and don't challenge it, it will never let you down."

"When a local guy tells me 'eh, brah', I know we're brothers."

These kinds of highly subjective expressions of appreciation of the goodness of Hawaii, collectively have given rise to certain trends and events in Hawaii. At the heart of these trends and events is a camaraderie based on the sense that local people know what is really special to Hawaii.

A unifying theme in the many testimonies of local people and community organizations against further uncoordinated urban development of agricultural land, was "Ka Aina I Ka Fono" (the righteousness of the land), a phrase that was repeated to rally support.

Kalama Valley and Ota Camp* aroused public interest and support. At stake was more than the loss of two communities. At stake was the right of the peoples of Hawaii to cultural self-determination.

The birth of the Ethnic Studies* program ("Our History Our Way") and the creation of ethnic history centers in various community centers were supported by the collective sense that the rich and varied story of Hawaii's people needed new understanding.

Canoe paddling clubs have proliferated. Surfing and fishing (despite pollution of the water and the scarcity of fish) have also increased markedly.

Gabby Pahinui and the Sunday Manoa, who have been elevated to the status of folk heroes, and the revival of the hula and Hawaiian music have managed to blend valuable aspects of a once dormant cultural past with the diversity and vitality of Hawaii of the 1970s.

Kumu Kahua (theater by and for local people) and the Hawaii Observer (a journal/newspaper dealing with current events in Hawaii) have grown from the need for creative cultural expression by people "of Hawaii."

These trends have matured through a growing appreciation for the land, people, and cultures of Hawaii by the "local people."

Local: A Mechanism for Creative Compromise

The struggle for control by local people is rooted not only in the ideological goal of self-determination, but also in the desire to halt the developments primarily for mainland Caucasians should not take precedence over the perpetuation of an economic-cultural life style of generations. 'Ota Camp' was similar. A Filipino community resisted the attempts of the government to relocate families in different areas of Oahu. At issue was community preservation and cultural self-determination.

*Ethnic Studies was born out of controversy. Sit-ins and public support forced a University administration compromise and initiated the promise. Proponents of the program were bolstered by public and legislative support that grew from the general recognition of the need for "Ethnic Studies" in Hawaii.
total Americanization of Hawaii. The growing appreciation of the goodness of Hawaii is rooted not only in special insight into natural, cultural, and communal aspects of Hawaii, but also in the desire to perpetuate those aspects in the face of urbanization and Americanization.

On the one hand, people strongly oppose the development of Hawaii into a mere extension of American society, with everyone becoming assimilated into the American mainstream. On the other hand, people realize that Hawaii is in part comprised of American legal, educational, and economic institutions which will continue to influence the lives of Hawaii's people.

On the one hand, people of Hawaii want to re-vitalize and maintain the relational patterns and heritage of traditional community that are associated with the goodness of Hawaii. On the other hand, people realize that urban growth has made traditional community anarchistic to existing Hawaiian society. People want economic and social opportunities that traditional community cannot provide.

These ostensibly conflicting feelings and realizations form the dilemma of many people who are self-defined as belonging to Hawaii. They want to resist the trend towards total Americanization and yet the obvious alternative to total Americanization that they perceive, a return to traditional ethnic communities, is not viable in a rapidly evolving Hawaiian society. What role and identification option provides people of Hawaii the means to (1) function in a society significantly influenced by American institutions while maintaining their cultural integrity, and (2) perpetuate certain values and norms of traditional community in Hawaii while functioning productively in an urban-community?

Large-scale identification with Local has grown because being Local offers a resolution to the dilemma. Being local assumes that while social, cultural, and economic changes are going to move the overall social structure of Hawaii further away from traditional community, the changes need not entail the total Americanization of Hawaii's people. Local is the mechanism that integrates aspects of traditional community, ethnic cultures, the realities of an urban-community, and a commitment to Hawaii. Local, in this sense, provides people of Hawaii a role and identification option which enables them to strike creative compromise between both traditional Community and Association and a traditional ethnic identification and a fully American identification.
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POWER, POLITICS, AND POWERLESSNESS

KOHALA PEOPLE AND THEIR FUTURE

Deborah Chang

This essay in from chapters 3 and 4 of Deborah Chang's independent tutorial project, a 135-page study entitled "Power Which Possibly Account for the Inactivity and Decaying Resignation (Kawainui) Regards to Their Future," which shared the first Human Prize Award in Technology, 1970, in her first chap book. Ms. Chang describes the local history and economic condition of Kohala district of the Big Island population 2,356 in 1970, and presents extensive data from published sources as well as her own interviews and attendance at public meetings.

Mr. Chang was born and raised on the island of Hawaii, and has close personal ties with many of the people who provided her data. In the preface to her study she states that credit is given "in the open, truthful, sincere people of Kohala without whom this study would not have been possible. Treat their sentiments with respect for they are very real and exist whether you think they should or not. You who may read from reading this study in ways that will benefit the people, who are the actual agents in their own community. The knowledge that has been so generously shared by the Kohala people must not be violated, lest we allow them on whom we must depend for future stability ailed at importing the quality of life for us all. Mahalo."

The Editors

I. Power and Politics

The pattern of Kohala's dependence is accounted by political conditions. Economic power directly determines political power, and in the case of the Kohala, economic helplessness leads to political helplessness. The political initiatives on the part of private citizens in Kohala is also stifled in part by the nature of their community and the reactions people have toward their powerlessness.

Source of Power and Political Influence in Kohala

Political influence in Kohala tends to be concentrated in the hands of a few who have the abilities to communicate with outside institutions through their self-confidence, technical and administrative skills, and personal contacts. As a result the large number of Kohalans who lack these abilities are heavily dependent upon a few people to be their spokesmen. Thus the desires of most of the people are not necessarily represented by those who are in positions of power and influence in the community.

Major landowners in the district have acquired the political and monetary ascendency which gives them the power to initiate or suppress changes in the community. For example, Kohala Corporation's ads its own terms on land leases which by their very nature sustain control of land and reaching in the hands of other large landowners. Residents can only hope for some changes and are not in the positions to exert concerted action. Dissatisfied Kohalans are simply "Let's see if you think Kohala Corporation land is too restrictive, try State Agricultural Meeting, May 7, 1971." As a result, most of the ownership is beyond the lands continue to pass. Ownership is the average citizen. Major landowners are closer to the local sources of decision, and their accessibility to larger institutions and agencies outside of the community gives them perspectives and personal contacts which enable them to determine significantly what the community's future will be (cf., Vidich and Benson, 1963, p. 100).

Another example involves about 528 acres near Hahana which were sold in 1970 by Richard Smart, owner of Parker Ranch, to Big Island Properties which sold them in 1973 to Eahana Beach Inc., a subsidiary of Finance Factors (South / beide Arnold, 1973b). The proposed International Hotel and Golf Institute which will be on ten donated acres of this parcel was endorsed with only one dissenting vote in October 1973 by the thirty-five member North Kohala Community Association. This Institute is envisaged at Eahana Beach, Inc. to eventually lead to a $129 million resort development on the remaining 528 acres. If this proposal is approved it will lead to the birth of a major urban...
center in an area which presently has a mere handful of scattered residences from Mahukona nearly to Kawaihae. This is an example of how great a landowner's power is in determining how an area is going to change. In this case the change would certainly be a dramatic one. The Mahukona-Kawaihae coast is filled with historic sites and artifacts. The Institute would be situated adjacent to the Lapakahi State Historical Park which is contradictory to the aims of historic site preservation which call for buffer zones from other modern intrusions around park areas to help preserve and create an authentic historic environment (State of Hawaii, 1972). The coast is also a prime fishing area.

There are numerous contradictions and bases for objection to this development, but a few Kohalans have given their approval in preference to an oil refinery which has also been proposed for the district (Hawaii Herald Tribune, 1973b). Skilled technicians, maintenance and service workers will be needed for the Institute, and in the words of K. Hing Yee, Honolulu financier and member of Kahana Beach Inc., Kohalans will be trained where possible, and the "local people" will control the Institute development rather than "Mainland Natives" (North Kohala Community Association Meeting, September 1973).

Political paralysis results when a group does not have the technical knowledge to make their desires felt by the political machine (Vidich and Bensman, 1968, p. 123). Kohalans tend to rely heavily on a select few individuals who have administrative skills to represent them. In this way they surrender control of the community to a small number of people. Some of the older residents had to quit school at an early age to work for the plantation to help support their families. Inadequacies in reading and writing handicap a person's abilities to participate in local affairs, and feelings of inferiority increases the alienation. I have seen derision among individuals at a community meeting in response to a person's use of "pidgin" English. This also acts to discourage participation. People feel that important business is best left to important people who have the abilities to express themselves properly in speaking and writing.

There are also more recent immigrants in Kohala, notably Filipinos, who are limited in communication outside of their native tongues. Those who have the skills and self-confidence to assume leadership roles are usually those who have higher paying jobs and more education. These people have the abilities to correspond with outside agencies, skills so essential to the community's affairs which necessarily involve outside institutions. When certain Kohalans find Kohala Corporation losses "too restrictive," they inquire about State land and are overwhelmed by regulations, restrictions, and procedures. Concrete proposals are required by landowners as well as loan companies in order to get an idea whether it would be too risky to lease land and lend money to an applicant. "Farm Plan Projections," just by the sound of the words, seem too complicated, and in the absence of help from experts some Kohalans are inclined to give up in disgust. People with the money and technical knowledge are expected to be more vocal. These people become spokesmen for other Kohalans, because people believe they have the power or influence to make their desires known to the governmental system.

Labor union leaders often speak for the community. After World War II unions in Hawaii rapidly gained the support of plantation workers throughout Hawaii. The union unified people in common dissent against ethnic and economic inequalities which were then even more pronounced than they are now. Between 1948-1958 sugar workers' wages increased from 44 to 74 percent making them the highest paid agricultural workers in the world and bringing wages to levels comparable to industrial wage rates on the Mainland. The union also achieved victories in government and thus became an even more effective spokesman for the people. Unions put an end to paternalism by plantation management, and instead union officials took the place of plantation owners in distributing benefits to the workers (Fuchs, 1961, pp. 354-376). In this way dependency of the people on the plantation elite in part shifted to the union elite.

Today in Kohala residents have a great deal of respect for what the union has been able to achieve for workers in the past. "Plantation bosses really kept the people feeling stupid, but unions have changed this" (Respondent, June 6, 1973). At the same time some Kohalans feel that perhaps the union "silt its own throat" now that Kohala Sugar Company is closed and operations are dormant. Demands for better wages, more paid holidays, and sick leave pay beginning with the first day, some believe, have hastened the plantations end. Union "bosses" in the community (as I'm told by a respondent) are not respected by many residents. They have poor reputations for drinking, being lazy, driving fancy cars, etc. No doubt rumors are elaborated upon.
but it appears that with the plantation's projected close, the local union may be largely inactive as the people's spokesman in the absence of plantation business.

Politics and Politicians

Kohalaans vary in their attitudes toward politics and politicians from great distrust and suspicion to complete trust and confidence in government. Both of these sentiments lead to increased political dependence for the Kohala who either rejects participating in "dishonest" politics or believes that government cares for the people's interests and will do a fine job without help from the people.

Politicians and politics are viewed by many Kohalaans as corrupt and dishonest. These anti-government beliefs can operate to exclude people from participating in community planning. Rumors of "pulling political strings" are linked by some to particularly the Japanese who through personal contacts allegedly obtain favors that put others at a disadvantage. The governor is thought by some to favor Japanese. Task Force money is therefore given to rich Japanese instead of other less fortunate people. Through rumors which are easily widespread confirming suspicions people already have in political leaders, people are prompted to stay at home rather than participate in something "crooked." "I don't want to bother with them. They're crooked." "All they say is lies." (Respondents, March and August, 1973.)

Kohala is distant from other communities on the island, and the seat of County government is in Kilo, 90 miles away. The State government is even more remote in Honolulu. State and County officials do not appear often, and when they do, they are viewed suspiciously as politicians and outsiders. Politicians appear on public business, but because the people play such a limited role in decision making, public business becomes private with important decisions being made in distant Kilo or Honolulu. Distrust of government and the feeling that political pull and money are more powerful than the average resident was also found in Hurdette Bostwick's and Ilma Piliwai's study in Kohala. It has been suggested in that study that greater openness in public affairs and efforts to educate the public about problems should be taken to bring control to political decisions closer to the people (Bostwick with Piliwai, 1972).

While some Kohalaans feel alienated and intimidated by politics and politicians, others have great faith and trust in the system. While some residents feel the Kohala Task Force has done nothing to help Kohalaans, others feel that government has "just been backhanded." Some residents have shown considerable naivete with respect to politics and economics, and this rather innocent trust is bound to be disappointed and could lead to further disillusionment in some cases. Some relevant examples: "Architecture of the resort buildings should blend in with our surroundings, not like those concrete jungles in Waikiki. I am sure that with our district so rich in Hawaiian history, we could succeed in such a venture." "I'm sure they'll be careful to meet pollution standards (in relation to the proposed oil refinery)." "Condominiums in Kapaau will provide badly needed housing for Kohala" (although a conservative estimate given in May 1973 for a one-bedroom unit was $32,000). In a community meeting in June 1973 a resident suggested that the planned gym and swimming pool at Kamehameha Park would be more economical to build as one complex rather than separately, to which one of Kohala's County representatives replied, "They must have reasons for it." In these examples can be seen a tendency in some residents to place unquestioning trust in powerful institutions to do the right thing. Some residents also show an unfamiliarity with governmental and economic systems when they think high-priced condominiums will provide housing for Kohalaans, or when they approve tourist-oriented and commercial developments as long as no bars and "hanky-panky" establishments are built. People do not know about land zoning, and what kinds of development are permitted in certain zones.

Powers, Politics, and Powerlessness

The Planning Process

Through the Kohala Community Association and its approximately fourteen members, the Kohalaans express their desires and opinions about proposed economic alternatives for the area. No Community Association meetings which have been held once a month, Kohalaans are notified as to the progress of new projects under way or what new proposals have come before the Kohala Task Force for consideration.

But one of the major problems facing the Community Association was that the lack of community participation. The Association's meetings and committee meetings weren't getting enough response from residents to know what the community's major concern was. So with respect to proposals for tourist development, a provocative issue among residents, the Association on two
occasions circulated questionnaires through the local plantation newspaper, "Ka Maka o Kohala," which goes to every boxholder in Kohala. The results of these surveys were later reported in regular meetings and used as an indication of public sentiment. The first survey was mildly successful with about ninety-four questionnaires returned. Some were signed by several people, and twenty-six questionnaires were returned with letters of further explanation. The second survey produced forty-eight responses. When the question of whether or not to support the proposed tourist development for Kapaau came to a vote at a meeting, many people abstained from voting, and approval was given for the development based on the second survey and the vote (North Kohala Community Association Meeting, June 26, 1973). Because response from residents is so limited, decisions rest in the hands of the few who get involved. In addition to the scanty survey results, community leaders rely on general moods or sentiments which they feel exist in the community. But knowing what the people want remains guesswork under the Association's present methods.

* * * *

On September 13, 1973 the State Senate Interim Committee held a public hearing to receive a progress report from the Kohala Task Force and reactions from residents.

The many officials and businessmen who came to give presentations were "outsiders" to the community. Their separateness from local residents was made more apparent in their manners of dress and speech. Before Kohalaans were called upon to speak the Task Force gave its progress report, and businessmen outlined their projects for Kohala. These officials and businessmen (among others) are who the Kohalaans and his family must depend upon for their area's future. To the Kohalaans these are outsiders who have come to tell the people, "This is what your future will be." These are the outside experts with the powers to decide. This meeting was really a direct confrontation between the governed and the governed. When important decisions are made for Kohala in far away Hilo or Honolulu the process is impersonal and difficult to relate to. But in this meeting in his own district the Kohalaan perhaps for the first time could personally see the planning process in action. Perhaps this showed feelings of powerlessness closer to home and more difficult to evade psychologically. Kohalaans were more critical in their questioning and less accepting to the proposals presented. Two Hawaiian men got up separately to voice their anger and frustration. Their outbursts of emotion triggered more expressions from other residents. Feelings were expressed by these men which are ordinarily saved for more private audience. Ethnic antagonisms were voiced against "Haole" perhaps because of feelings of intimidation in the presence of so many outsiders.

"I'm an expert tool" said another. While many present were shocked and disgusted by these exclamations, they opened the way to further discussion. Many of the people at the meeting no doubt felt that those men would have done better to keep their mouths shut, but beneath their sometimes irrational statements were significant emotions and motivations which are shared by others who keep such feelings to themselves or reserve them for intimate groups.

II. Forms of Powerlessness--Self-Created and Induced

The evident lack of participation in community planning on the part of Kohalaans tends to give many the impression that the community must be inflected with a widespread case of apathy. However a look at a simple statistic on the high home ownership rate in Kohala indicates that perhaps more caution is merited before judging this situation. Home ownership has given many Kohalaans an investment in the area's future. With many considering themselves permanent residents, they are bound to have very strong sentiments with regard to how they would like the area to change. After months of study aimed at uncovering the possible explanations for Kohalaans' inactivity, I have formulated a hypothesis based on my findings: Feelings of powerlessness lead many Kohalaans to abandon attempts to effect desired changes in their environment.

Most Kohalaans appear to be pleased with their "country" environment and the various forms of recreation and private projects which are possible in such an environment. These activities contribute to their styles of life which many wish to retain and strengthen. But if this is to become a reality, more jobs must come to the district. Keeping the primary family unit together through expanding job and educational opportunities for the young, is a cherished hope for many, but this can be achieved only through major changes in the district which could considerably affect other aspects of life. Thus many Kohalaans are faced with the major dilemma of how to achieve economic rejuvenation of the
area and at the same time retain the intimacy of family and community which is attributed to country life.

Powerlessness and Dependence

There are a number of ways in which Kohalaans are economically dependent upon others for their future. They must rely on large businesses to bring the employment so essential to their family's continued residence in Kohala. Equally as critical is the job training which must be made available if Kohalaans are to continue to maintain and improve their living standards through skilled employment. It is unlikely that businesses originating in the community will be able to provide sufficient jobs, so Kohalaans are necessarily dependent upon larger companies for their future welfare.

Attempts to create self-employment and independence are made by small farmers and ranchers who must acquire the basic economic necessities to make even a small farm or ranch successful. Land, water and working capital are among the necessities without which a farmer or rancher cannot survive. For these he must depend on major landowners' terms for leases, state and county initiative in water development, and loan companies, banks and other institutions for financial assistance. So even in their attempts to create employment for themselves, certain Kohalaans are faced with what must often seem like insurmountable difficulties. Our inflationary economy is further weakening the Kohalaan's buying power as well as his abilities to make major investments or establish credit for loans. Those interested in agriculture are also subject to problems seriously affecting the industry as a whole. Additionally small agricultural undertakings must compete with larger, more efficient businesses for markets and financing, a competition which puts the small farmer or rancher at a great disadvantage. One can either resent or accept this dependence. Often these reactions lead to a withdrawal from community planning.

Obstacles to Political Action

Along with economic control usually goes political control. Large companies, major private landowners and more prominent citizens have the monetary power, administrative skills and personal contacts which enable them to more easily influence political decisions. This isn't to say that the average private citizen has no political power. However certain factors particular to the Kohala situation function to discourage or impede many Kohalaans from exerting unified political action. The pattern of dependence can be seen in Kohala's lack of leaders. Only a few people have assumed leadership positions, resulting in much duplication of leadership across several groups and organizations. Leaders tend to be those who have more technical skills and contacts which enable them to communicate with outside agencies and institutions, a communication which is vital to local affairs. These people are usually those of higher social class standing with the skilled jobs and education which in part determine their social positions. Political paralysis results when a group does not have the technical abilities or the organization to make its desires known to government. Many Kohalaans thus rely on experts and spokesmen to speak for them, surrendering power of community affairs to a few leaders who may not represent the majority of Kohalaans.

Perhaps this tendency to rely on others is related to paternalism which has and still does exist in Kohala in many forms. Community services which were once issued by the plantation now come from sources like the union, the state and the county. Community affairs were once managed by the plantation, and perhaps Kohalaans still lack the valuable experience in managing their own public business which could improve their abilities to act now. Another factor which operates to discourage leadership roles among Kohalaans is the ideal or norm of "friendship among equals." This norm seems to indicate that members of a group may remain friends as long as they are social equals, and no one attempts to do anything which may be interpreted as a way of gaining importance or superiority over the rest.

Ethnic and class divisions which were in the past intentionally reinforced have left their marks on Kohala's present society. The divisions which exist today are not as pronounced as they once were, but the effects of the old system can be seen in feelings of inferiority and the lack of self-confidence which discourage Kohalaans from assuming positions of leadership or unifying to strengthen their political influence. Many Kohalaans assume passive roles, because they simply do not have the confidence in their abilities. Political action is then left to those who are thought to be more qualified through experience and education.
It is sad that so many Kohalaans do not realize that they are the only ones truly "qualified" to make judgments about what their community's future should be. Not only are potential leaders suppressed, but so are the followers without whom a leader can accomplish little. Kohala's distance from government seats reinforces the impersonality of the political system. Important decisions are made far away by important people. This way citizens often do not realize how essential they really should be to the planning processes. Additionally Kohala's dispersed population makes it difficult to keep issues and the interest of the people alive. Issues tend to lose the interest of the people after indefinite delays. Thus there are many factors which potentially impede unification among Kohalaans in order to make their desires known to the political machine. Many of these factors stem from the kind of society which exists and has existed in Kohala.

Self-Created Powerlessness

The Kohalaan further accentuates his powerlessness without realizing it by reacting to his dependence in certain ways. A person can either deny or accept his dependence, and both kinds of reactions can lead to a withdrawal from community planning. Suspicions and distrust of outsiders and "corrupt" politicians prompt certain Kohalaans to reject participating in community planning which they feel is controlled by the dishonest. Beliefs in the deceitfulness of influential people could be a way of rationalizing the resentment they feel when they sense their relative powerlessness to determine Kohala's future.

Disgust and disillusionment with government are frequent reactions to the numerous delays in funding which have plagued such essential projects as the Grain Research Center and historic site development. From the standpoint of many Kohalaans a great deal more has been said about future proposals than has actually been accomplished. Many have become disillusioned and disgusted with the lack of progress and have eliminated themselves from the planning process. It is not surprising that those Kohalaans who have tried to assume more responsibility for their future (i.e., small farmers and ranchers) and found it a defeating enterprise, would react in disgust and give up attending community meetings. Unwittingly they increase their powerlessness by withdrawing from community planning.

People may also react by burying themselves in their personal activities and projects. "Psychological defenses" are ways in which the Kohalaan can reinforce his pride in his area and way of life. The Kohalaan may resent the cultural, economic and political dominance of outside urban areas, but often he will rationalize his comparative powerlessness through beliefs in the inherent superiority of country lifestyles and environment over a city's. By refusing to recognize his dependence on the outside, the Kohalaan is able to proceed with his daily life with feelings of satisfaction and accomplishment.

Acceptance of powerlessness can also function to eliminate Kohalaans from political processes. The belief in "self-chosen dependency" is a basic denial of change. That is, some believe that when new developments come to Kohala, they will not disrupt Kohala's inner life, and the area's rural atmosphere and style of life will not change. Thus the Kohalaan believes he is not really needed in the planning process, since new developments cannot help but be beneficial to the area. This faith in Kohala's eventual success is also strengthened by many people's trust in the governmental system to see that Kohala's future is a prosperous one. Unfamiliarity with economic and political systems and realizations that some Kohalaans to accept their dependency by placing complete trust in others. The tendency to accept one's dependence and leave important decisions to those who "know what they're doing" is related again to paternalism and operates to eliminate Kohalaans from the planning process. By assuming that community planning can do without the help of Kohalaans, it becomes much easier to remain unoccupied with one's personal projects and activities while vital planning stages come and go in inconspicuous, poorly attended meetings.

There are many factors contributing to the inactivity of Kohalaans in community planning. I have separated them for purposes of analysis in this study, although in the community setting certain factors undoubtedly reinforce one another and become mutually dependent. Kohalaans can even inadvertently create their own dependencies, but there are also very real dependencies on more powerful institutions and powerlessness. These factors too often lead Kohalaans to abandon attempts to effect desired changes in their environment.
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